

Congestion Costs Congestion estimated to waste \$68 billion per year (fuel + time, 2000) in 75 U.S. major urban areas. \$1,160 average cost per peak-period traveler in 75 urban areas (2000) In Austin: Congestion "cost" per peak-period driver = \$1,190/yr (2000) Traffic Delay~ 61 hours/peak user/yr in Austin (2000) Wasted Fuel ~ 104 gals/peak user/yr in Austin (2000)

Source: 2002 Urban Mobility Report, TTI







Proposed Strategy: CBCP Road users are held responsible for the marginal social cost of their trip, as it impacts others. Bankable credits permit a basic amount of travel – & an opportunity to make money (by avoiding congested roadways during peak periods). Equitable & effective! Revenue neutral!

CBCP: A Scenario...

- Every month licensed drivers receive allowance of "driving credits" to drive on congested roads using an EZ-Pass/ FastTrak credit-card account (or debit card) linked to his/her name.
- Some drivers would spend much more than others & travel under uncongested conditions, deriving benefits from reduced delays & less variable travel times.
- Some drivers would spend much less & receive cash (or tax credits) – &/or may donate credits to special groups.
- Total credit updates would be based on total revenues collected in prior month, so policy is revenue-neutral.
- Bus use & local shopping increase, emissions fall, roads busier at off-peak periods...

CBCP: Toll Collection...

- Each network link, at each time of day, priced distinctly, based on current demand.
- Electronic technology uses **card-like transponders** mounted on cars windshields.
- Tolls automatically deducted from user's account (or debit card) when vehicle passes toll collecting point, with no delay.
- Electronic displays upstream of collection points (& on-line) indicate exact tolls.
- Maximum tolls & variability may be set (e.g., 50¢/mile, 1¢/mile/minute), to minimize uncertainty.
- Possible exceptions for HOVs, taxis, &/or others.





Project Objectives:

- Identify practical & optimal approaches for:
 Application
 - Administration
- Forecast:
 - Resulting road operations
 Final benefits distribution
- Identify hurdles to implementation
- Examine a variety of urban CBCP cases
- Propose policy recommendations

Where are we now?

Current survey of Austinites...

Survey of Austin Residents

- Objective: Gauge Austinites' perceptions of & travel responses to CBCP
- Spatial-sampling, RDD, & Internet surveys
- Almost 400 responses to date; survey still in progress
- ** Weblink: <u>http://cbcp.hypermart.net</u> **







Additional Observations...

- People who travel further &/or own more vehicles consider congestion a more serious problem.
- People making more work trips are more likely to seek ways to avoid congestion.
- More peak-hour trips &/or Older persons
 → Less willing to switch modes & will endure
 higher tolls to keep driving.
- Persons living in bigger households &/or having more kids will endure higher tolls

Average responses to CBCP...

- Assuming 25¢/mile for 20 miles of peak-hour trip (\$5 round-trip cost), avg. drivers willing to modify mode or re-schedule peak-period trip 3.71 weekdays per month (so as to save credits)
- If allowance covers just 15 of 20 workdays, avg. willing to pay = \$4.81/day (\$24.06/mo.) (in peak tolls, after credits expire)
- Avg. VOT = \$12.42: People willing to pay \$2.07 (average) to save 10 minutes on a work commute trip by car that presently requires 30 minutes.

Coming Research:

- Enforcement & Privacy Provision on CBCP Accounts, Visitor Policies
- Travel Behavior Modeling for Welfare Estimates across Sub-Populations
- Integrated Modeling for Land Use Impacts
- Other Areas to Consider?

Thank you for your time!

Please link to our survey (cbcp.hypermart.net)!