

# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AUSTIN DISTRICT

### Low Water Crossing Study

Project Number: 0121.072.004 February 2017













1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas, 77079 T 281-589-7257 W www.rpsgroup.com/www.klotz.com



1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77079 T 281 589 7257 E email@klotz.com W www.rpsgroup.com | www.klotz.com

February 27, 2017

Mr. John Nevares, P.E. Assistant Director of Traffic Operations Texas Department of Transportation, Austin District P.O. Box 15426 Austin, Texas 78761

RE: Low Water Crossing Study Contract No. 36-436P5027, Work Authorization No. 4 RPS Klotz Associates Project No. 0121.072.004

Dear Mr. Nevares:

Please find attached our final report for the Low Water Crossing Study at various locations within TxDOT Austin District. This report documents data collection, inventory, proposed high water detection system and summary of findings and recommendations of the study.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this study, please contact me at your convenience. Thank you for the opportunity to work with TxDOT on this important project.

Sincerely,

Kevin Tyer, P.E. Deputy Operations Manager

Attachment

### TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AUSTIN DISTRICT

### Low Water Crossing Study

**Project Number:** 0121.072.004 **February 2017** 



Prepared by



Texas P.E. Firm Registration No. F-929

1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas, 77079 T 281-589-7257 W www.rpsgroup.com/www.klotz.com



### **Executive Summary**

On behalf of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Austin District, RPS Klotz Associates conducted a Low Water Crossing Study. The purpose of this study was to conduct a detailed inventory and review of low water crossings within the district, perform a basic needs-assessment and prioritization of the locations identified, and prepare conceptual layouts of 20 highest priority suitable candidates for High Water Detection Systems (HWDS).

The Texas Hill Country is a region known for its rocky hills, springs and canyons. Due to this geography, roadway flooding is a natural hazard and a frequent occurrence in the region. In recent years, warning systems for roadway flooding have been increasingly deployed by public agencies in the region. The primary functions of HWDS are to warn drivers of hazardous road flooding conditions and notify the transportation and emergency management personnel who respond to flooding events. In order to maintain consistency and minimize driver confusion, the HWDS currently in use or deployed by public agencies in the region were studied and analyzed for applicability to TxDOT Austin District.

Through coordination with TxDOT Austin District, all of the maintenance offices within the district, and field investigations of all known low water crossings, a total 153 low water crossing locations were identified as part of this study. All locations were mapped in Aeronautical Reconnaissance Coverage Geographic Information System (ArcGIS) following TxDOT guidelines.

It is recommended that a Geographical User Interface (GUI) be developed based on the low water crossing information presented in the ArcGIS inventory database. This GUI would allow TxDOT Austin District Office and the various Area and Maintenance Offices to retrieve and document information pertaining to low water crossing locations and flood events, display exhibits or graphics for information purposes, edit the database to keep low water crossing information up to date, and provide information to the public of high water flood events.

Based on information gathered from the field inventory, literature review, discussion with agency officials and evaluation of various practical and/or feasible technologies that could be deployed within the study area, a total of 20 locations were identified and prioritized as suitable candidates for Phase I installation of a HWDS for the TxDOT Austin District.



The proposed conceptual layouts were developed for the 20 highest priority low water crossing locations within the district. However, flash flooding could occur after heavy rainfall events or times in which drivers would not expect roadways to be flooded and can occur at other low water crossing locations within the district. It is recommended that TxDOT develop a work program and allocate the necessary annual funds to install HWDS at low water crossing locations within the Austin District to improve safety during flood events, meet driver expectancy, and provide consistency throughout all maintenance sections.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
Background 1   Scope 1   METHODOLOGY 2   INVENTORY STUDY 2   Field Observations 2   Meeting/Interview 2   Inventory GIS Map 2   HWDS REVIEW IN TEXAS 5   TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS 5   City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E) 6   Bexar County HALT System 7   Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System 7   PROPOSED HWDS 8   Water Level Sensors 8   Master Control Unit 9   Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices 10	INTRODUCTION	1
METHODOLOGY	Background Scope	1 1
INVENTORY STUDY 3   Field Observations 3   Meeting/Interview 3   Inventory GIS Map 4   HWDS REVIEW IN TEXAS 5   TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS 5   City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E) 6   Bexar County HALT System 6   City of Austin Flood Early Warning System 7   Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System 7   PROPOSED HWDS 8   Water Level Sensors 8   Master Control Unit 9   County With Advance Warning Devices 10	METHODOLOGY	2
Field Observations 2   Meeting/Interview 2   Inventory GIS Map 4   HWDS REVIEW IN TEXAS 5   TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS 5   City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E) 6   Bexar County HALT System 6   City of Austin Flood Early Warning System 7   Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System 7   PROPOSED HWDS 8   Water Level Sensors 8   Master Control Unit 9   Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices 10	INVENTORY STUDY	3
HWDS REVIEW IN TEXAS. 5   TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS. 5   City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E) 6   Bexar County HALT System. 6   City of Austin Flood Early Warning System 7   Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System. 7   PROPOSED HWDS 8   Water Level Sensors. 8   Master Control Unit. 9   Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices 10	Field Observations Meeting/Interview Inventory GIS Map	
TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS 5   City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E) 6   Bexar County HALT System 6   City of Austin Flood Early Warning System 7   Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System 7   PROPOSED HWDS 8   Water Level Sensors 8   Master Control Unit 9   Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices 10	HWDS REVIEW IN TEXAS	5
PROPOSED HWDS	TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E) Bexar County HALT System City of Austin Flood Early Warning System Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System	5 6 6 7 7
Water Level Sensors	PROPOSED HWDS	8
Communications	Water Level Sensors Master Control Unit Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices Communications Power Equipment	
HIGHEST PRIORITY LWC LOCATIONS	HIGHEST PRIORITY LWC LOCATIONS	12
Fredericksburg Maintenance Office12Johnson City Maintenance Office12Llano Maintenance Office13Mason Maintenance Office13Lincoln Maintenance Office13Lockhart Maintenance Office13Burnet Maintenance Office14South Travis Maintenance Office14San Marcos Maintenance Office14	Fredericksburg Maintenance Office Johnson City Maintenance Office Llano Maintenance Office Mason Maintenance Office Lincoln Maintenance Office Lockhart Maintenance Office Burnet Maintenance Office South Travis Maintenance Office San Marcos Maintenance Office	
CONCEPTUAL DESIGN	CONCEPTUAL DESIGN	16
Scenario 1: HWDS at a Single LWC Location	Scenario 1: HWDS at a Single LWC Location Scenario 2: HWDS at the LWC on RM 2389 Scenario 3: HWDS at the LWCs on RM 243 Scenario 4: HWDS at the LWCs on RM 150 PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATES	



RECOMMEND	DATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	20
REFERENCE		22

#### **REPORT TABLES**

Table 1 – Summary of Updated Inventory Data	4
Table 2 – Highest Priority Locations for Conceptual Design	15
Table 3 – Summary of Preliminary Cost Estimates	20

#### **REPORT FIGURES**

Figure 1 – Proposed HWDS at a Single LWC Location	16
Figure 2 – Proposed HWDS at the LWC at RM 2389	17
Figure 3 – Proposed HWDS at the LWCs at RM 243	
Figure 4 – Proposed HWDS at the LWCs at RM 150	19

#### **APPENDIX A – Inventory Form**

Summary of Preliminary TxDOT Low Water Crossing Inventory Data Summary of Final TxDOT Low Water Crossing Inventory Data

#### **APPENDIX B – GIS Inventory Map**

Exhibit B1: Inventory of Low Water Crossing Locations

Exhibit B2: Highest Priority Low Water Crossing Locations

#### **APPENDIX C – Conceptual Layouts**

Field Inventory Sheets Photographs Proposed Conceptual Layouts at Highest Priority Locations (Exhibits C1-C20) Preliminary Cost Estimates



## Introduction

#### Background

A Low Water Crossing (LWC) Study was conducted by RPS Klotz Associates for the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Austin District. The purpose of this study was to perform an inventory of LWC locations in the Austin District and prioritize various locations that are suitable candidates for High Water Detection Systems (HWDS). The study also included the assessment and recommendation of the automated system that will detect roadway flooding conditions, notify the assigned District personnel, and warn/inform approaching drivers. In addition, conceptual layouts and preliminary cost estimates were prepared for 20 highest priority LWC locations.

#### Scope

The scope of this study included the following tasks:

- Conduct a detailed inventory of the LWC locations provided by the District (i.e., locations were identified through coordination by District staff with the different Area and Maintenance Offices);
- Prepare Geographic Information System (GIS) maps of all inventoried locations;
- Conduct site visits at each LWC location;
- Coordinate with Maintenance Offices regarding LWC locations within the respective maintenance sections;
- Identify and rank each location and prioritize the order of design/implementation based on frequency of flooding/water ponding, and duration of flooding;
- Conduct an assessment of HWDS;
- Prepare conceptual layouts for 20 highest priority LWC locations including proposed warning signs, solar powered flashing beacons, and hardware/field equipment; and
- Prepare preliminary cost estimates for all 20 locations.



# Methodology

The study methodology consisted of the major components listed below:

- Data Collection:
  - a. Preliminary Inventory List: TxDOT Austin District coordinated with all Area and Maintenance Offices to provide an original list of LWC locations with preliminary rankings.
  - b. Field Inventory Data: Several field visits were conducted in order to gather information pertaining to existing conditions at each LWC location including type of water crossing, roadway configuration, pavement condition, pavement marking and signing, existing warning devices, and speed limits. In addition, photographs were taken at each LWC.
  - c. Meeting/Interview: Meetings with Maintenance Office staff were conducted to obtain input/feedback regarding LWC locations within the maintenance sections.
- Inventory GIS Map: A GIS map was developed based on field data collection and meeting/interview information and by utilizing standard State guidelines for the development of GIS Shape files.
- LWC Prioritization: The LWC locations within each respective maintenance section were ranked based on frequency of flood events, duration of road closures, impact to road users during flood events, and meetings with Maintenance Office staff.
- HWDS Evaluation: Existing and emerging HWDS were evaluated. The criteria and requirements for the implementation of proposed systems were recommended.
- Conceptual Layout: Key components of the HWDS were identified and proposed in the conceptual layouts for 20 highest priority locations and preliminary cost estimates were developed.



### **Inventory Study**

The LWC data was initially obtained from each Area and Maintenance Office in 2015. The preliminary data was received from TxDOT Austin District, which identified the location and priority of LWC within each maintenance section. A total of 136 LWC locations were identified in the preliminary data collection. The detailed inventory data information is shown in Appendix A.

#### **Field Observations**

Based on the preliminary inventory data, field investigations were conducted from May 24, 2016 to June 10, 2016 to identify existing LWC characteristics such as roadway geometry, type of LWC, speed limits, pavement marking, advance warning signs, flood gauge signs, and object markers. Photographs of the roadway approaches to the LWC were taken. The site observations identified during the field investigations were used to evaluate the existing conditions of LWC locations.

#### **Meeting/Interview**

After the field inventory data were compiled and summarized, a series of meetings were conducted by RPS Klotz Associates with Maintenance offices in August 2016 and September 2016 to obtain input and feedback for each of the LWC locations. The preliminary inventory data were updated and the locations and priorities were reevaluated during these meetings. A couple of LWC locations were removed from the study because either these locations are maintained by other public agencies or there are ongoing projects to eliminate the LWC.

Some operation and maintenance issues were summarized as follows:

- TxDOT sends maintenance personnel to close flooded roadways and keep up with alerts during the flood events.
- Some LWC locations get flooded frequently on an annual basis.
- There is no available documentation of past road closures and flood events. The information is limited to the knowledge of the maintenance staff.
- There are concerns that automatic gates would block emergency vehicles.



A total of 153 LWC locations were summarized in the updated data collection. A summary of the updated inventory data is provided in Table 1 and detailed information can be found in Appendix A.

Maintenance Office	No. of LWC
Burnet	14
Fredericksburg	31
Lincoln	6
Johnson City	23
Llano	19
Lockhart	22
Mason	33
South Travis	2
San Marcos	3
Bastrop	0
North Travis	0
Travis Central	0
Travis East	0
Taylor	0
Georgetown	0
Total:	153

Table 1 – Summary of Updated Inventory Data

#### **Inventory GIS Map**

An inventory GIS map was developed based on field data collection and meeting/interview information by utilizing standard State guidelines for the development of GIS Shape files. Exhibit B1 in Appendix B provides the inventory GIS map of the 153 LWC locations identified within the TxDOT Austin District.



### **HWDS Review in Texas**

Texas has the highest number of flood fatalities in United States. Most of these flood fatalities are vehicle-related. A traffic safety study shows that driving and walking into flood waters may be responsible for more than 93% of flood fatalities in Texas (1). In recent years, the HWDS have been increasingly deployed by the public agencies in Texas.

The focus of this study was on the HWDS currently in use or deployed by the public agencies near TxDOT Austin District in order to maintain consistency and minimize driver confusion. This section provides a brief review of these systems. The description identifies the key system components, technologies, and implementation issues associated with each of these systems in place.

#### **TxDOT San Antonio District HWDS**

The TxDOT San Antonio District installed 26 HWDS at LWC locations with a potential of or a history of flooding. Most of these systems have been installed in the rural areas within San Antonio District.

The system typically consists of one or a pair of water level sensors, a microprocessor master control, remote unit with Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (TMUTCD) warning signs and flashing beacon, solar power system, wireline or wireless communications from water level sensors to master control, wireline or wireless communications from master control to remote site, cellular communications from the system to a contracted operations center, and internet-based communications from the contracted operations center to the TxDOT San Antonio TransGuide network.

When a flooding event reaches a trigger threshold, the water level sensors transmit the information to a master control. The master control can activate flashing beacons at remote sites to inform drivers that a road is flooded ahead. It also can simultaneously notify TxDOT transportation and emergency management personnel of the flooded roadway condition so action can be taken.

Several implementation issues included compatibility between two different manufacturers and establishing cellular communications in remote areas. It was noticed that two poles were installed at each remote site to separate the warning sign with flashing lights from the remote control unit with solar power panel.



#### City of San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E)

The City of San Antonio installed its HWDS that warns motorists of flooded roadways and the Early Flood Warning System network of ALERT rain and stream gauges in the 1980's. However, both systems had fallen into disrepair during the 1990's following a period of drought.

In the 2000's, the City started to reactivate 19 LWC master gauging stations and 54 advance warning sites. The new sensors, communications, and equipment as necessary were installed during rehabilitation. Following the revitalization and integration of the two systems, the City completed the system expansion in 2010 including installation of 13 new detection systems with a total of 36 advance warning sites.

These systems inform drivers of a flooded roadway by activating flashing lights and in some cases an automated barrier gate. All of these systems are integrated into San Antonio Flood Emergency System (S.A.F.E.). In addition to high water warning system, drivers can find alternate routes from a network of S.A.F.E. routes at critical LWC locations throughout the City of San Antonio.

#### **Bexar County HALT System**

Bexar County installed its roadway flooding warning system in 2007. This system consists of four rain and stream gauging sites, which monitor conditions at LWC locations on two roadways. The system automatically activates flashing beacons to warn drivers during road flooding.

As part of the county's 10-year flood control program, Bexar County upgraded the system as High water Alert Lifesaving Technology (HALT) System in 2009. After the completion of the latest Phase III expansion in September 2016, there are more than 150 HALT systems within Bexar County. The water level sensors in HALT systems detect rising water and warn drivers to turn around with either flashing lights or a combination of flashing lights and gates. In addition to various levels of warning, including flashing lights and barricades, the system will also send real time information to the website which was developed through a partnership among Bexar County, the City of San Antonio and the San Antonio River Authority. Drivers can assess the website with information about the status of all of the County's LWCs. By subscribing to alerts through this website, drivers can receive text or email alerts when LWCs they choose to monitor have water over the road.



#### **City of Austin Flood Early Warning System**

The City of Austin began to establish its Flood Early Warning System (FEWS) in the middle of 1980's. Currently, the FEWS consists of 130 rain gauges or stream level sensors, flashing lights or automated gates at 15 LWC locations, gauge-adjusted radar rainfall system, and Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) cameras at LWC locations. The gauge-adjusted radar rainfall system collects rainfall data from the National Weather Service Doppler Radar and then adjusts the data based on the ground rainfall gauges in the Austin area. An important feature of predictive modeling and mapping was added through the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) interface that the City developed in 2010. All flood related information from various sources was incorporated into SCADA interface. Based on hydrologic/hydraulic prediction model and all available information, the predicted floodplain maps in ArcGIS and Google Earth format are created and provided to the Emergency Operation Center (EOC) duty officers.

For small scale storms (less than 2-year storm events), FEWS personnel communicate directly with field operations barricade crews for road closures. For large scale storm (2-year storm events and above), FEWS personnel will communicate with EOC duty officers first and only make recommendations for road closures, creek warnings, and evacuations. EOC duty officers are responsible for making the decisions.

#### Hays County Low Water Crossing Warning System

Hays County installed 16 LWC warning systems at high-hazard road crossing locations in 2008. Hays County identified and prioritized LWCs based on the previous flooding events, flood related accidents, and the need based on area growth.

Each system consisted of one water level sensor and two warning signs with flashing lights. The devices were designed to flash warning when two inches of water overtopped the low point of the road surface and a second indication when the depth reached six inches. Each unit was equipped with a solar power system.

The sensor monitors the elevation of a nearby stream and reports any change, every three minutes, to the central computer. When flood water reaches the edge of the roadway, the system activates the warning text on the changeable signs and/or activates the flashing lights. The sensor control unit sends all this information back to the central computer. Emails are sent to staff and the appropriate



Street Services district alerting them of the need to place barricades at this location as soon as possible. The wireless communications are implemented between the sensor control units and changeable signs/flashing lights, and sensor control unit and the central computer. The sensor control unit normally activates the changeable message sign or flashing light without intervention from the central computer. However, the central computer can issue commands to turn on the signs and lights.

### **Proposed HWDS**

As described in the previous section, HWDS currently implemented in the region have similar functionalities and characteristics. The primary functions of the HWDS are 1) to warn drivers of the hazardous road flooding conditions and 2) to notify the transportation and emergency management personnel who can response to flooding events.

The proposed HWDS should include the following key components:

- Water Level Sensor
- Master Control Unit
- Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices
- Communications
- Power equipment

#### Water Level Sensors

The water level sensor that is ultimately deployed depends on the sensor's characteristics and the local geography and installation conditions. There are three main types of sensors used for detecting water levels that are applicable for the proposed HWDS.

• Pressure Transducer: A pressure transducer uses the hydrostatic pressure of the water to measure its height. It is commonly used to provide accurate and continuous water level readings and the rate at which the water is rising. It generally rests on streambeds protected by securely fastened conduit.



- Ultrasonic Sensor: An ultrasonic sensor produces an analog signal based on the height of the water. It is generally mounted above the level of a water surface (i.e., bridge, culvert, etc.) and measures the distance to the water surface.
- Bubbler Gauge: A bubbler gauge sensor measures the change in hydrostatic pressure of water above an air outlet. It is used when particularly hazardous conditions might jeopardize a sensor.

Other methods for determining water level include shaft encoder, fiber optic sensor, and radar sensor, etc. Regardless of the type of sensors used, several critical factors must be considered as follows:

- Sensors need to be protected from mud and debris associated with flash flooding.
- Sensors must be "immune" to vandalism and damage from humans and animals.
- Sensors in the waterbed need to survive freeze damage during the winter.

In addition to the water level sensor, a rain gauge can be installed at or near the LWC site to provide further insight as to what conditions are likely to occur. In some cases, redundant sensors are recommended to achieve the best reliability. Multiple sensors will allow more data to be taken during a flooding event.

#### **Master Control Unit**

The master control unit serves as the data processing and data disseminating center of the HWDS. The master control unit acquires the data from water level sensors, usually through a hardwire link. It interprets the data, and initiates actions based on the information received. It also monitors and controls the remote units with advance warning devices. The master control unit includes the capabilities to process information from more than one sensor site, data logging, and data disseminating and transmitting. The specific capabilities are typically chosen based upon site conditions, site needs, and integration with existing systems. The master control unit is normally housed in a National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) rated outdoor enclosure and mounted on a pole. The equipment must be kept out of the flood zone (above 100-year floodplain) and away from vehicle traffic.



#### **Remote Unit with Advance Warning Devices**

The remote unit is equipped with communication modules and automated control, allowing the master control unit to determine when activation of warning devices is required.

The advance warning devices should not only convey a clear message, but also command attention and the respect of drivers. Typical advance warning devices include warning signs, flashing lights, changeable message signs, and automatic barrier arms. Flashing lights, warning signs, and message signs provide warning messages, but they do not prevent drivers from making poor judgement and continuing into the flooded area. Automatic barriers/gates can automatically operate the barrier across the road when the system detects rising water levels, however, it may block the access for emergency vehicles. TxDOT has determined to not use Automatic barriers/gates to close roads within TxDOT Austin District.

In the proposed system, it is recommended to use TMUTCD standard weather condition warning signs "ROAD MAY FLOOD" (W8-18) with flashing beacons based on the LWC characteristics.

The location of the advance warning signs needs careful consideration. During flooding event, drivers may be lured into the flooded area when visibility is poor. The warning signs must be far enough ahead of the flooded roadway and in accordance with TMUTCD standard advance placement distances.

#### **Communications**

The communication flows in the proposed flooded roadway warning system includes master control unit to remote unit and master control unit to traffic management center.

#### Master Control Unit to Remote Unit

Communication between Master Control Unit and Remote Unit is normally via Very High Frequency/Ultra High Frequency (VHF/UHF) radio transmission. VHF/UHF radio-based communications platforms typically use the ALERT radio transmission protocol, which transmits in real time when each sensor event occurs. ALERT systems are fast, have good resolution, and low operating costs, but they can suffer from interferences from electrical noise and atmospheric conditions and require line-of-sight communication. If the devices do not have line of sight, one would have to use repeater(s) in order to transmit the signal from the master processing unit to the remote unit. The repeater is a specialized transmitter that receives an incoming signal, waits until the



entire signal has been received, and retransmits it. It is usually placed at a high elevation, midway between the master control unit and the remote unit. Because radio transmitters use public airwaves, they require licenses to legally operate.

#### Master Control Unit to Traffic Management Center

There are multiple options for the communications between master control units to the Traffic Management Center (TMC). Based on the available communication infrastructure in the study area, VHF/UHF radio and Cellular service are two most applicable methods in this study.

As discussed previously, VHF/UHF radio requires line-of-sight communications. It also requires a license to transmit signals on a certain frequency. In addition, since multiple transmitters share common radio frequencies, there are instances when simultaneous transmissions occur.

Cellular network through AT&T or Verizon Cellular service is normally available within the study area. Cellular networks take advantage of newer technology, making it less susceptible to interference, and easier to configure. It typically operates from locations served by the provider's voice network. The communication can be effectively established. Service providers often include licensing and network monitoring in their fee structure, but often charge proportionally according to the amount of data traffic. The cost of each cellular connection varies between \$6 and \$15 depending on the data usage. Potential risk factors for cellular networks may include denial of service during extreme traffic loading situations or outages related to severe weather. In this study, most of LWC locations are in remote areas. Cellular network will be the most applicable mode of communication between most master control units and TMC.

#### **Power Equipment**

Most HWDS are in remote areas away from existing commercial power grid. The systems generally rely on a combination of battery and solar power system. Solar power battery systems will continue to operate reliably when there is a power outage. The field devices typically are mounted on a pole that may also serve as the support pole for solar panels and backup batteries.



# **Highest Priority LWC Locations**

Several criteria were applied to identify the 20 highest priority suitable candidates for conceptual design of HWDS. The criteria or requirements are summarized below:

- Frequency of flooding/water ponding
- Duration of flooding
- Flooded related crashes (especially fatality accidents)
- Type of LWC
- Roadway Characteristics
- Traffic Volumes
- Local operation and maintenance experiences

The 20 locations were identified from the 153 total inventory LWC locations. In general, the top two ranking locations were included from each Maintenance section.

#### **Fredericksburg Maintenance Office**

- The LWC on RM 1888 has been identified as top priority and the No. 1 ranking location by Fredericksburg Maintenance Office. There are high traffic volumes on RM 1888. During flood events, the maintenance personnel have to take a long detour to access the other side of the LWC location.
- The LWC on RM 1631 is the No. 2 ranking location by Fredericksburg Maintenance Office. There are new developments in the adjacent area. Unfamiliar drivers are anticipated to drive towards the LWC location.

#### Johnson City Maintenance Office

• The LWC on RM 1320 has been identified as top priority and the No. 1 ranking location by Johnson City Maintenance Office. If there is rain in the Pedernales River upstream area (Fredericksburg and Harper), flood water will cover the roadway at this LWC location.



• The LWC on RM 962 is the No. 2 ranking location by Johnson City Maintenance Office.

#### Llano Maintenance Office

- The LWC on RM 3404 has been identified as the highest priority location by Llano Maintenance Office. This LWC flooded four to five times in years 2015 and 2016. During a flood event, TxDOT Llano Maintenance Office will close the road on the RM 1431 side; Llano county sheriff office will close the other side of the road.
- The LWC on RM 152 is the No. 2 ranking location by Llano Maintenance Office.
- The LWC on RM 2323 is the No. 3 ranking location by Llano Maintenance Office. During a flood event, this LWC generally gets flooded first. Therefore, installing a HWDS at this location would provide TxDOT the information about the upcoming flood at other LWCs along RM 2323.

#### **Mason Maintenance Office**

- The LWC on RM 1871 has been identified as the No. 1 ranking location by Mason Maintenance Office. However, TxDOT is planning to replace the bridge at this LWC in fiscal year 2019. Therefore, this LWC location has been removed from the top priority list.
- The LWC on RM 2389 is the No. 2 ranking location by Mason Maintenance Office.
- The LWC on RM 2768 is the No. 3 ranking location by Mason Maintenance Office.

#### **Lincoln Maintenance Office**

- The LWC on RM 141 has been identified as the No. 1 ranking location by Lincoln Maintenance Office. According to the maintenance office staff, water could stay up to three days or longer at this LWC.
- The LWC on RM 1624 is the No. 2 ranking location by Lincoln Maintenance Office. A fatality occurred during a flood event at this LWC location on March 22, 2013.

#### **Lockhart Maintenance Office**

• The LWC on RM 1979 has been identified as the No. 1 ranking location by Lockhart Maintenance Office.



- The LWC on RM 1977 is the No. 2 ranking location by Lockhart Maintenance Office.
- The LWC on RM 20 is the No. 3 ranking location by Lockhart Maintenance Office.

#### **Burnet Maintenance Office**

- The LWC on RM 1174 and Oatmeal Creek has been identified as top priority and the No. 1 ranking location by Burnet Maintenance Office. According to the maintenance office staff, the water level rose up to four feet high during the flood in August 2016.
- The LWC on RM 1174 and an unnamed creek is the No. 2 ranking location by Burnet Maintenance Office.
- The LWCs on RM 243 at Williams Branch and Sycamore Springs Creeks are the No. 3 and 4 ranking locations. According to the maintenance office staff, there are high (mainly cut-through) volumes on RM 243. The distance between these two LWCs is less than 1.5 miles. Therefore, these two LWC locations on FM 243 were grouped into one HWDS.

#### **South Travis Maintenance Office**

There are two LWC locations in the South Travis Maintenance section. Both of them (RM 2244 at Eanes Creeks and RM 1826 at Slaughter Creek) are identified within the top 20 highest priority locations in this study.

#### **San Marcos Maintenance Office**

• Three LWC locations are listed in San Marcos Maintenance section along RM 150. Two LWCs at Onion Creek and one LWC at Yorks Creek are identified within the top 20 highest priority locations in this study. Since these three LWC locations on RM 150 are separated by less than 2.0 miles, they are grouped into one HWDS.

Table 2 summarizes the 20 top priority locations and Exhibit B2 shows these 20 identified locations and can be found in Appendix B. The field inventory information for the identified 20 locations is summarized in Appendix C.

No.	Maintenance Office	Roadway	County	Latitude	Longitude	Body of Water	Type of Water Crossing
1	Fredericksburg	RM 1888	Gillespie	30.163769	-98.729469	Hunters Creek	Pipe Culvert
2	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	30.290195	-98.792646	Kiehne Creek	Pipe Culvert
3	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	30.272171	-98.545541	Pedernales River	Bridge
4	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	30.383090	-98.249950	Cypress Creek	Pipe Culvert
5	Llano	RM 3404	Llano	30.681620	-98.485350	Llano River	Bridge
6	Llano	RM 152	Llano	30.705860	-98.892860	Vasterling Creek	Pipe Culvert
7	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	30.530310	-98.920560	Cottonwood Creek	Pipe Culvert
8	Mason	RM 2389	Mason	30.650172	-99.250713	Llano River	Bridge/Box Culvert
9	Mason	RM 2768	Llano	30.704000	-98.958833	Llano River	Pipe Culvert
10	Lincoln	FM 141	Lee	30.321623	-96.786472	Middle Yegua Creek	Bridge
11	Lincoln	FM 1624	Lee	30.388121	-97.064129	Middle Yegua Creek	Box Culvert
12	Lockhart	FM 1979	Caldwell	29.832114	-97.842355	San Marcos River	Bridge
13	Lockhart	FM 1977	Caldwell	29.783007	-97.831417	San Marcos River	Bridge
14	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	29.752584	-97.781067	San Marcos River	Bridge
15	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	30.703320	-98.064290	Oatmeal Creek	Pipe Culvert
16	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	30.679850	-98.062550	Unnamed Creek	Pipe Culvert
17	Burnot	DM 242	Burnot	30.820920	-97.934770	Williams Branch	Pipe Culvert
1 /	Dumet	KM 243	Dunnet	30.832800	-97.920380	Sycamore Springs Crk.	Pipe Culvert
18	South Travis	RM 2244	Travis	30.270687	-97.792718	Eanes Creek	Pipe Culvert
19	South Travis	RM 1826	Travis	30.209318	-97.903397	Slaughter Creek	Pipe Culvert
				30.085060	-98.013000	Onion Creek	Pipe Culvert
20	San Marcos	RM 150	Hays	30.083220	-98.008000	Onion Creek	Pipe Culvert
				30.059739	-97.989886	Yorks Creek	Pipe Culvert

Table 2 – Highest Priority Locations for Conceptual Design



## **Conceptual Design**

The conceptual HWDS designs for 20 highest priority LWC locations were developed based on the local geometrics, adjacent roadway networks, LWC characteristics and installation conditions.

#### Scenario 1: HWDS at a Single LWC Location

In scenario 1, the proposed HWDS is at a single LWC location. This system consists of remote units with advance warning signs and flashing lights for both directions, yellow flood gauge sign, water level sensor, master control with data processing unit and cellular communication module. In some cases, additional remote units are proposed near the adjacent intersection to warn approaching drivers. Figures 1 provides the illustration of the proposed system installations at a single LWC location.



Figure 1 - Proposed HWDS at a Single LWC Location

#### Scenario 2: HWDS at the LWC on RM 2389

The LWC at RM 2389 and Llano River includes two bridges. The roadway segment between the two bridges is approximately 800 feet. This segment could be under water during a flood event. It is difficult to install one master control unit to detect both locations. Therefore, two control units are proposed. One control unit will serve as the master control unit; the other control unit will send the



water level information to the master control unit and the master control unit will then activate the flashing lights. Figure 2 provides the illustration of the proposed system installations at the LWC on RM 2389.



Figure 2 - Proposed HWDS at the LWC at RM 2389

#### Scenario 3: HWDS at the LWCs on RM 243

As discussed in the previous section, the LWCs on RM 243 at Williams Branch and Sycamore Springs Creeks are grouped into one HWDS. In addition to the advance warning signs with flashing lights at each LWC, it is recommended to install a remote unit with "ROAD CLOSED TO THRU TRAFFIC" (R11-4) sign and "WHEN FLASHING" (W16-13p) plaque at the beginning of the stretch of roadway near the intersection of US 183. This allows motorists to use an alternative route to turn around and avoid the risk of traveling the flooded roadways. Figure 3 provides the illustration of the proposed system installations at the LWCs on RM 243.





Figure 3 – Proposed HWDS at the LWCs at RM 243

#### Scenario 4: HWDS at the LWCs on RM 150

Three LWCs on RM 150 at Onion Creek and Yorks Creek are grouped into one HWDS. The advance warning signs with flashing lights are recommended at each LWC. One remote unit with "ROAD CLOSED TO THRU TRAFFIC" (R11-4) sign and "WHEN FLASHING" (W16-13p) plaque are proposed on northbound RM 150 near the intersection of RM 3237 and on southbound RM 150 near the intersection of Elder Hill Road. This allows motorists to use an alternative route to turn around and avoid the risk of traveling the flooded roadways. Figure 4 provides the illustration of the proposed system installations at the LWCs on RM 150.





Figure 4 – Proposed HWDS at the LWCs at RM 150

The conceptual layout at each identified location and summary of the field visit and photographs are provided in Appendix C.

### **Preliminary Cost Estimates**

Preliminary cost estimates were developed for the HWDS at 20 highest priority locations. These preliminary cost estimates are provided as a planning level assessment of projected cost for budgeting purposes. Actual construction cost may vary based on the detailed design of each proposed system and actual unit costs at time of construction. It was assumed that existing Right of Way (ROW) would be sufficient and ROW acquisition cost would not be required for this project. A summary of the planning level cost estimate is provided in Table 3 and detailed preliminary cost information can be found in Appendix C.



	Area Office	Maintenance Office	Roadway	Preliminary Cost
1	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1888	<b>\$48,4</b> 70
2	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	\$48,470
3	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	\$49,010
4	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	\$49,280
5	Burnet	Llano	RM 3404	\$48,470
6	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	\$48,470
7	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	\$48,470
8	Burnet	Mason	RM 2389	\$68,310
9	Burnet	Mason	RM 2768	\$63,990
10	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 141	\$51,170
11	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 1624	\$48,470
12	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1979	\$65,610
13	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1977	\$51,170
14	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	\$65,610
15	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	<b>\$48,4</b> 70
16	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	<b>\$48,4</b> 70
17	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	\$111,380
18	South Travis	South Travis	RM 2244	<b>\$48,4</b> 70
19	South Travis	South Travis	RM 1826	<b>\$48,4</b> 70
20	South Travis	San Marcos	RM 150	\$159,840
		\$1,220,070		

#### Table 3 – Summary of Preliminary Cost Estimates

# **Recommendations and Conclusions**

This study consisted of a detailed inventory at various LWC locations, reviews of HWDS currently deployed in Texas Hill Country region, key components of these systems, development of conceptual layout for the 20 highest priority locations, and cost estimates of the proposed system at each location.



A review of the HWDS currently in use provided critical information on the key components of the proposed system. The key components of the system would include water level sensors, master control, remote units with advance warning devices, communication among the field devices, communication between master control and TMC, and power equipment.

Through coordination with TxDOT Austin District, all of the maintenance offices within the district, and field investigations of all known LWCs, a total 153 LWC locations were identified as part of this study. All locations were mapped in Aeronautical Reconnaissance Coverage Geographic Information System (ArcGIS).

It is recommended that a Geographical User Interface (GUI) be developed based on the LWC information presented in the ArcGIS inventory database. This GUI would allow TxDOT Austin District office and the various Area and Maintenance Offices to retrieve and document information performing to LWC locations and flood events, display exhibits or graphics for information purposes, edit the database to keep LWC information up to date, and provide information to the public.

Based on information gathered from the field inventory, literature review, discussion with agency officials and evaluation of various practical and/or feasible technologies that could be deployed within the study area, a total of 20 locations were identified and prioritized as suitable candidates for Phase I installation of a HWDS for the TxDOT Austin District.

The proposed conceptual layouts were developed for the 20 highest priority LWC locations within the district. However, flash flooding could occur after heavy rainfall events or times in which drivers would not expect roadways to be flooded and can occur at other LWC locations within the district. It is recommended that TxDOT develop a work program and allocate the necessary funds to install HWDS at LWC locations within the Austin District to improve safety during flood events, meet driver expectancy, and provide consistency throughout all maintenance sections.



## Reference

1) Analysis of Flood Fatalities in Texas, Hatim O. Sharif, Terrance L. Jackson, Md. Moazzem Hossain, and David Zane Natural Hazard Review, Volume 16 Issue 1, 2015.



# Appendix A-Inventory Form

RPS Klotz Associates Project No. 0121.072.004 February 2017



### Summary of Preliminary TxDOT Low Water Crossing Inventory Data

Low	Water Crossi	ngs
Burnet	Maintenance	Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE		LOCATION					http://itouchmap.com/latlong.html		NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	1349-03	199+10	12.9	412+4063	30.703320	-98.064290	Oatmeal Creek
5	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	1349-03	291+00	14.75	414+2694	30.679850	-98.062550	
4	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	1349-03	595+10	20.51	420+1226	30.604330	-98.085330	Cow Creek
9	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	Burnet	0440-03	279+15	1.9	514+326	30.666340	-98.075450	
3	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	Burnet	0440-04	456+75	18.1	532+428	30.820920	-97.934770	Mahomet
11	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	Burnet	0440-04	618+00	19.5	532+8161	30.832800	-97.920380	
12	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	192+18	3.46	508+7725	30.806070	-98.203730	
6	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	224+39	4.072	510+385	30.814360	-98.199800	Wardens
7	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	236+00	6.486	512+2567	30.841530	-98.178360	
8	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	372+54	6.906	512+4787	30.845760	-98.173220	
13	Burnet	Burnet	RM 2340	Burnet	2205.01	218+00	4.14	400+775	30.893830	-98.893830	
14	Burnet	Burnet	RM 2340	Burnet	2205.01	264+20	5	400+5324	30.881530	-98.179860	
10	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1855	Burnet	1752-01	35+40	0.97	502+3225	30.645970	-98.269740	
2	Burnet	Burnet	RM 2147	Burnet	3338-01	33+66	7.3	506+1720	30.539740	-98.272130	Double Horn Creek

Low Water Crossings
<b>Fredericksburg Maintenance Office</b>

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION				http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1888	Gillespie	2929-01			476+.75	30.163769	-98.729469	Hunters Creek
2	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1376	Gillespie	1899-03			450+1.10	30.151260	-98.736985	West Fork Hunters Creek
3	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01			482+1.16	30.374190	-98.664780	Willow Creek
4	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Llano	1199-02			424+.43	30.507148	-98.759140	Crabapple Creek
5	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1376	Gillespie	1899-03			446+1.30	30.175813	-98.745941	Hopfs Creek
6	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01			472+.43	30.290195	-98.792646	Kiehne Creek
7	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01			452+.55	30.258090	-99.153977	Flag Creek
7	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01			452+1.45	30.257487	-99.139053	North Creek
7	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01			454+.62	30.254315	-99.119651	draw
7	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01			454+1.4	30.251006	-99.107327	Devils Creek
7	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01			456+.19	30.247437	-99.094763	draw
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			432+.07	30.430571	-99.155313	Edwards Creek
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			432+1.49	30.419451	-99.172533	Threadgill Creek
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			432+1.80	30.416444	-99.176368	Threadgill Creek
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			434+.80	30.409153	-99.190139	Threadgill Creek
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			434+1.74	30.398577	-99.196576	draw
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			436+.68	30.387610	-99.199623	Threadgill Creek
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04			436+.77	30.384547	-99.200631	Maverick Springs
9	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-01			44633	30.277177	-99.242813	draw (Lange Rd)
10	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01			462+1.16	30.241504	-98.980103	Mud Creek
11	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04			476+.10	30.412905	-98.734069	Knott Branch
12	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01			478+1.30	30.343010	-98.713153	Spring Creek
13	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01			480+.18	30.345088	-98.700426	Sagebiel Branch
14	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01			478+.70	30.335468	-98.716562	draw (Koennecke-Eckhardt Rd)

### Low Water Crossings Fredericksburg Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE	LOCATION						http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
15	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Llano	1199-02			424+.88	30.510723	-98.764917	Keener Branch
16	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Gillespie	1199-01			428+.15	30.497518	-98.817462	draw (Enchanted Rock)
17	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Gillespie	1199-01			440+.31	30.341527	-98.857818	draw (Achtzehn Rd)
18	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04			480+.60	30.392676	-98.674273	draw (Andy Moore Mt. Rd)
19	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04			480+00	30.392922	-98.684369	draw (Herber-Schaefer Rd)
20	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04			484+.18	30.390711	-98.626569	Youngblood Creek

### Low Water Crossings Lincoln Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPONSIBLE OFFICE		LOCATION						http://itouchmap.com/latlong.html		NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 1624	Lee					30.388121	-97.064129	Middle Yegua Creek
1	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 141	Lee					30.321623	-96.786472	Middle Yegua Creek
2	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 1624	Lee					30.303636	-97.050766	West Yegua Creek

Lov	w Water Crossings
Johnson	<b>City Maintenance Office</b>

PRIORITY	RESPONSIBLE OFFICE		LOCATION						http://itouchmap.com/latlong.html		NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	954-4			442+0.464	30.272171	-98.545541	Pedernales River
2	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	1056-6			510+0.943	30.383090	-98.249950	Cypress Creek near Cypress Mill
3	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-5				30.362642	-98.468402	Hickory Creek at Sandy
4	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1623	Blanco	1534-1			500+0.805	30.124800	-98.504445	Big Creek
5	Burnet	Johnson City	RM962	Blanco	1056-6			504+0.319	30.429338	-98.339570	North Cypress
6	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2325	Blanco	285-1			504++0.133	30.113379	-98.302448	Near RM 165
7	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962 West	Blanco	2204-2			502+1.632	30.433755	-98.348118	North Cypress RM 962 West
8	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2325	Hays	285-2			508+0.065	30.106034	-98.270117	Near Blanco County Line
9	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2325	Hays	285-2			508+1.419	30.098670	-98.249527	
10	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	954-4			434+1.551	30.342715	-98.483748	Spring Creek
11	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-5			494+1.518	30.362697	-98.494361	Spring Creek
12	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-5			500-0.119	30.349093	-98.435432	Buffalo Creek
13	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	1056-6			508+1.270	30.397807	-98.265806	Cleveland Branch
Low	Water Cross	ings									
-------	-------------	--------									
Llano	Maintenance	Office									

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION				http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Llano	RM 3014	Llano	2209-2 08			492+.73 mi.	30.862770	-98.455000	When this crossing is closed due to high water there are 1000+ people who are stranded until the water recedes
2	Burnet	Llano	RM 3404	Llano	3388-1 08			490	30.681620	-98.485350	Crossing over the Llano River
3	Burnet	Llano	RM 2342	Burnet	2207-1 08			422+.69 mi.	30.690800	-98.385420	Only low water crossing on RM 2342. 32 mile round trip from the Llano Warehouse
4	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-1 08			420+164'	30.719830	-98.703790	There are 3 sub divisions within a mile of this crossing that are not accessible from RM 2323 when the crossing is
5	Burnet	Llano	RM 2241	Llano	547-1 08			482+1.53 mi.	30.774220	-98.696900	This low water crossing stays closed longer than the rest of the low water crossings on RM 2241 during high water
6	Burnet	Llano	RM 2241	Llano	547-1 08			482+.73 mi.	30.770040	-98.641540	
7	Burnet	Llano	RM 2241	Llano	547-1 08			490+1.10 mi.	30.820750	-98.522640	No culvert pipe at this low water crossing
8	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-1 08			424+1.88 mi.	30.667960	-98.777520	
9	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-1 08			430+1.32 mi	30.623210	-98.843850	
10	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-1 08			440	30.530310	-98.920560	
11	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	396-9 08			468+200'	30.697260	-98.936560	
12	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	396-9 08			470+.79 mi.	30.705860	-98.892860	
13	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-1 08			426+1.89 mi.	30.660310	-98.808770	
14	Burnet	Llano	RM 690	Burnet	3005-1 08			406+1 mi.	30.762690	-98.399640	
15	Burnet	Llano	RM 690	Burnet	3005-1 08			406+.84 mi.	30.764550	-98.401102	
16	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	396-9 08			476+1.41 mi	30.722480	-98.787060	
17	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	396-9 08			480+.72 mi.	30.736930	-98.736940	
18	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-1 08			422+1.85 mi.	30.682720	-98.749010	No culvert pipe at this low water crossing
19	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	396-9 08			480+1.58 mi	30.742040	-98.725050	

## Low Water Crossings Lockhart Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION				http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1979	Caldwell	1898-1				29.832114	-97.842355	San Marcos River
2	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1977	Caldwell	1434-3				29.783007	-97.831417	San Marcos River
3	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	115-2				29.752584	-97.781067	San Marcos River
4	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 672	Caldwell	384-4				29.893555	-97.658898	Plum Creek
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 672	Caldwell					29.900159	-97.652375	
5	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 86	Caldwell	571-2				29.785633	-97.583254	Plum Creek
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 86	Caldwell					29.802518	-97.568851	
6	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-2				29.758715	-97.593173	Plum Creek
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell					29.746671	-97.592754	
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell					29.681976	-97.599406	
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell					29.676150	-97.617506	
7	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-2				29.676313	-97.621711	Cottonwood Creek
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell					29.676555	-97.627918	
8	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1386	Caldwell	2478-1				29.700094	-97.586583	Draw
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1386	Caldwell					29.699763	-97.580494	
9	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 3158	Caldwell	3211-1				29.803435	-97.556955	Daniels Creek
	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 3158	Caldwell					29.798275	-97.555641	
10	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 713	Caldwell	805-3				29.852453	-97.519101	Tennis Creek
11	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	115-3				29.940812	-97.504873	Draw
12	Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	115-2				29.784383	-97.738602	Draw

Low	Water Crossings
Mason	<b>Maintenance Office</b>

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION				http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01		-0.875	444	30 39.489N	99 19.439W	Llano River; FY2019 project to replace, CSJ 1753-01-017
2	Burnet	Mason	RM 2389	Mason	2688-01		0.57	418	30 39.00N	99 14.04W	Llano River
3	Burnet	Mason	RM 2768	Llano	2099-01		0.467	410	30 42.24N	98 57.53W	Llano River
4	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		-0.846	458	30 55.57N	99 08.18W	
5	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		-1.522	456	30 55.56N	99 10.11W	
6	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		-1.085	448	30 54.08N	99 16.54W	
7	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		-1.094	454	30 55.62N	99 11.72W	
8	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		-1.727	454	30 55.62N	99 12.35W	
9	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		-0.979	452	30 55.63N	99 13.62W	
10	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-3		1.339	448	30 54.10N	99 16.80W	
11	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	577-1		-1.051	406	30 49.94N	99 10.68W	
12	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	577-1		-1.22	406	30 50.05N	99 10.56W	
13	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	577-1		-1.066	400	30 53.71N	99 07.30W	
14	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	577-1		0.348	396	30 55.80N	99 07.09W	
15	Burnet	Mason	RM 2768	Llano	2099-01		1.387	408	30 43.05N	98 57.29W	
16	Burnet	Mason	RM 1723	Mason	1538-01		-0.381	410	30 42.84N	99 13.12W	
17	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01		-0.97	452	30 44.264N	99 14.586W	
18	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01		0.249	450	30 43.650N	99 14.595W	
19	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01		0.146	448	30 42.499N	99 16.187W	
20	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01		-0.874	448	30 42.041N	99 16.187W	
21	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01		0.868	432	30 36.234N	99 28.261W	
22	Burnet	Mason	RM 152	Mason	396-8		-0.365	458	30 38.469N	99 03.559W	
23	Burnet	Mason	RM 152	Mason	396-8		0.157	460	30 39.361N	99 01.211W	
24	Burnet	Mason	RM 152	Mason	396-8		-0.36	466	30 41.864N	98 58.196W	
25	Burnet	Mason	RM 648	Gillespie	1056-2		0.788	456	30 26.739N	99 03.088W	

#### Low Water Crossings Mason Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION			http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
26	Burnet	Mason	RM 648	Gillespie	1056-2	-0.113	454	30 26.675N	99 05.910W	
27	Burnet	Mason	RM 648	Gillespie	1056-2	0.509	452	30.444673N	99.121623W	
28	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-1	-0.893	416	30 37.728N	99 05.875W	
29	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-1	-0.147	420	30 33.721N	99 07.223W	
30	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-1	0.57	418	30 34.909N	99 07.002W	
31	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-1	-0.227	422	30 32.504N	99 08.036W	
32	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-1	0.298	422	30 32.032N	99 08.023W	
33	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Gillespie	1056-2	0.536	426	30 29.466N	99 07.850W	

## Low Water Crossings South Travis Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	NSIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION				http://itouchma	p.com/latlong.html	NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	STAEO	STMO	RM1826	Travis	1754-02				30.209318	97.903397	Slaughter Creek
2	STAEO	STMO	RM2244	Travis	2102-01				30.270687	97.792718	Dry Creek/Eanes Creek
1	STAEO	SMMO	RM0150	Hays	1197-01			456+6085'	30.08322* N	98.00800* W	Onion Creek
2	STAEO	SMMO	IH0035	Hays	0016-02			215-1610'	30.00292* N	97.86594* W	Plum Creek

#### Low Water Crossings San Marcos Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPO	NSIBLE OFFICE			LOCATION				http://itouchmap.com/latlong.html		NOTES
* RANK	* AREA	* MAINTENANCE	* ROADWAY	* COUNTY	* CONTROL-SECTION	STATION	MILEPOINT	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
Bastrop County (Maintenance) PR 1C at Alum Creek Road											
Travis North	Maintenance		Loop 360 at Spic	ewood Springs	(City of Austin Maintained)						



# Summary of Final TxDOT Low Water Crossing Inventory Data

#### Low Water Crossings Burnet Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOC	ATION			NOTES
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	1349-03	412+0.77	30.703320	-98.064290	Oatmeal Creek. Needs a big box culvert or bridge. It rose upto 4' during last event. Top Priority
2	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	1349-03	414+0.51	30.679850	-98.062550	Top Priority. Check to see if multiple locations along RM 1174 can be accommodated on one LWC system
3	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	Burnet	0440-04	532+0.08	30.820920	-97.934770	Mahomet. Heavy traffic
4	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	Burnet	0440-04	532+1.55	30.832800	-97.920380	Road serves as cut-thru for traffic from Marble Falls. Unfamiliar drivers on this roadway. Heavy traffic
5	Burnet	Burnet	RM 2147	Burnet	3338-01	506+0.33	30.539740	-98.272130	Double Horn Creek. Heavy traffic
6	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1174	Burnet	1349-03	420+0.23	30.604330	-98.085330	Cow Creek. Heavy Traffic
7	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	510+0.07	30.814360	-98.199800	Wardens
8	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	512+0.49	30.841530	-98.178360	
9	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	512+0.91	30.845760	-98.173220	
10	Burnet	Burnet	RM 963	Burnet	1198-01	508+1.37	30.806070	-98.203730	
11	Burnet	Burnet	RM 243	Burnet	0440-03	514+0.06	30.666340	-98.075450	Coming out of Bircham, barricade RM 1174
12	Burnet	Burnet	RM 1855	Burnet	1752-01	502+0.61	30.645970	-98.269740	
13	Burnet	Burnet	RM 2340	Burnet	2205-01	400+0.15	30.893739	-98.181087	
14	Burnet	Burnet	RM 2340	Burnet	2205-01	400+1.01	30.881530	-98.179860	

# Low Water Crossings Fredericksburg Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOC	ATION		NOTES	
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1888	Gillespie	2929-01	476+0.75	30.163769	-98.729469	Hunters Creek. Heavy traffic. Blind Curve. TxDOT cannot access the other side when flooded (long detour). Explore possibility of adding culvert
2	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01	472+0.43	30.290195	-98.792646	Kiehne Creek. Development occurring in the area. LWC catches drivers by surprise
3	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1376	Gillespie	1899-03	450+1.10	30.151260	-98.736985	West Fork Hunters Creek
4	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01	482+1.16	30.374190	-98.664780	Willow Creek
5	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Llano	1199-02	424+0.43	30.507148	-98.759140	Crabapple Creek. Water stays up for upto 12 hrs
6	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1376	Gillespie	1899-03	446+1.30	30.175813	-98.745941	Hopfs Creek
7	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01	452+.55	30.258090	-99.153977	Flag Creek
8	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01	452+1.45	30.257487	-99.139053	North Creek
9	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01	454+0.62	30.254315	-99.119651	draw
10	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01	454+1.40	30.251006	-99.107327	Devils Creek
11	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01	456+0.19	30.247437	-99.094763	draw
12	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	432+0.07	30.430571	-99.155313	Edwards Creek
13	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	432+1.49	30.419451	-99.172533	Threadgill Creek
14	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	432+1.80	30.416444	-99.176368	Threadgill Creek
15	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	434+0.80	30.409153	-99.190139	Threadgill Creek
16	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	434+1.74	30.398577	-99.196576	draw
17	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	436+0.68	30.387610	-99.199623	Threadgill Creek
18	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-04	436+0.77	30.384547	-99.200631	Maverick Springs
19	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0783	Gillespie	1135-01	446-0.33	30.277177	-99.242813	draw (Lange Rd)
20	Burnet	Fredericksburg	FM 2093	Gillespie	1903-01	462+1.16	30.241504	-98.980103	Mud Creek

# Low Water Crossings Fredericksburg Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	NSIBLE OFFICE			LOC	ATION			NOTES
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
21	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04	476+0.10	30.412905	-98.734069	Knott Branch. Stays up for upto 12 hrs. "Dip" in roadway. Add pipes?
22	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01	478+1.30	30.343010	-98.713153	Spring Creek
23	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01	480+0.18	30.345088	-98.700426	Sagebiel Branch
24	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1631	Gillespie	1536-01	478+0.70	30.335468	-98.716562	draw (Koennecke-Eckhardt Rd)
25	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Llano	1199-02	424+0.88	30.510723	-98.764917	Keener Branch
26	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Gillespie	1199-01	428+0.15	30.497518	-98.817462	draw (Enchanted Rock)
27	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 0965	Gillespie	1199-01	440+0.31	30.341527	-98.857818	draw (Achtzehn Rd)
28	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04	480+0.60	30.392676	-98.674273	draw (Andy Moore Mt. Rd)
29	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04	480+0.00	30.392922	-98.684369	draw (Herber-Schaefer Rd)
30	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04	484+0.18	30.390711	-98.626569	Youngblood Creek
31	Burnet	Fredericksburg	RM 1323	Gillespie	1056-04	480+1.62	30.383000	-98.666590	draw

#### Low Water Crossings Lincoln Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOC	ATION	NOTES		
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 141	Lee	0334-05	436	30.321623	-96.786472	Middle Yegua Creek. Water stays up - 3 days or longer
2	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 1624	Lee	1537-01	432	30.388121	-97.064129	Middle Yegua Creek. Fatality (drowning) occurred on March 22, 2013
3	Bastrop	Lincoln	FM 1624	Lee	1537-01	438	30.303636	-97.050766	West Yegua Creek
4	Bastrop	Lincoln	RM 696	Lee	1073-02	576	30.385056	-97.167847	
5	Bastrop	Lincoln	RM 696	Lee	1073-02	574	30.383908	-97.190388	
6	Bastrop	Lincoln	RM 112	Milam	0334-02	576	30.470777	-97.158658	At Williamson County Line

PRIORITY	RESPO	NSIBLE OFFICE			LOC	ATION			NOTES
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	0954-04	442+0.46	30.272171	-98.545541	Pedernales River. Top priority. Fills up even with no rain. If Fredericksburg & Harper ge rain, this LWC gets flooded. Old Low Bridge
2	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	1056-06	510+0.94	30.383090	-98.249950	Cypress Creek near Cypress Mill
3	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-05	496+1.41	30.362642	-98.468402	Hickory Creek at Sandy
4	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	1056-06	504+0.32	30.429338	-98.339570	North Cypress
5	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2325	Blanco	0285-01	504+0.13	30.113379	-98.302448	Near RM 165
6	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962 West	Blanco	2204-02	502+1.63	30.433755	-98.348118	North Cypress RM 962 West
7	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-05	494+1.52	30.362697	-98.494361	Spring Creek
8	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-05	500-0.12	30.349093	-98.435432	Buffalo Creek
9	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1623	Blanco	1534-01	500+0.81	30.124800	-98.504445	Big Creek
10	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	0954-04	434+1.55	30.342715	-98.483748	Spring Creek
11	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	1056-06	508+1.27	30.397807	-98.265806	Cleveland Branch
12	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2325	Hays	0285-02	508+0.07	30.106034	-98.270117	Near Blanco County Line
13	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2325	Hays	0285-02	508+1.42	30.098670	-98.249527	
14	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 962	Blanco	2204-02	502+0.11	30.420134	-98.317352	
15	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 165	Blanco	0954-02	500+1.80	30.111769	-98.334208	Rebe's Creek
16	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2766	Blanco	2677-01	496+0.35	30.274622	-98.395553	Deer Creek
17	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 2766	Blanco	2677-01	498+1.18	30.274767	-98.352769	Rough Hollow
18	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 3232	Blanco	3351-02	498+1.28	30.235428	-98.249569	Flat Creek
19	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	0954-04	436+0.15	30.339111	-98.491306	North Grape Creek
20	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	0954-04	440+0.86	30.294306	-98.540783	Post Oak Creek
21	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1320	Blanco	0954-04	440+0.53	30.298555	-98.541306	
22	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-05	496+1.51	30.364797	-98.464214	
23	Burnet	Johnson City	RM 1323	Blanco	1056-05	502+1.23	30.313889	-98.406833	

#### Low Water Crossings Johnson City Maintenance Office

#### Low Water Crossings Llano Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	Y RESPONSIBLE OFFICE		LOCATION						NOTES
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Llano	RM 3404	Llano	3388-01	490+0.00	30.681620	-98.485350	Crossing over the Llano River. High Priority. Llano County closes their side. TxDOT closes their side (on 3404 off RM 1431). Sheriff's office closes the other side. Has flooded
2	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	0396-09	470+0.79	30.705860	-98.892860	
3	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-01	440+0.00	30.530310	-98.920560	Hickory Creek. This LWC floods first
4	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-01	420+0.03	30.719830	-98.703790	
5	Burnet	Llano	RM 2342	Burnet	2207-01	422+0.69	30.690800	-98.385420	Boys Road. Need to replace. Only low water crossing on RM 2342. 32 mile round trip from the Llano Warehouse
6	Burnet	Llano	RM 2241	Llano	0547-01	482+1.53	30.774220	-98.696900	This low water crossing stays closed longer than the rest of the low water crossings on RM 2241 during high water
7	Burnet	Llano	RM 2241	Llano	0547-01	482+0.73	30.770040	-98.641540	
8	Burnet	Llano	RM 2241	Llano	0547-01	490+1.10	30.820750	-98.522640	No culvert pipe at this low water crossing
9	Burnet	Llano	RM 3014	Llano	2209-02	492+0.73	30.862770	-98.455000	When this crossing is closed due to high water there are 1000+ people who are stranded until the water recedes. Need culverts to fix the problem
10	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-01	424+1.88	30.667960	-98.777520	
11	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-01	430+1.32	30.623210	-98.843850	
12	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	0396-09	468+0.04	30.697260	-98.936560	Comes up quickly
13	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-01	426+1.89	30.660310	-98.808770	
14	Burnet	Llano	RM 690	Burnet	3005-01	406+1.00	30.762690	-98.399640	
15	Burnet	Llano	RM 690	Burnet	3005-01	406+0.84	30.764550	-98.401102	
16	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	0396-09	476+1.41	30.722480	-98.787060	
17	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	0396-09	480+0.72	30.736930	-98.736940	
18	Burnet	Llano	RM 2323	Llano	2411-01	422+1.85	30.682720	-98.749010	No culvert pipe at this low water crossing
19	Burnet	Llano	RM 152	Llano	0396-09	480+1.58	30.742040	-98.725050	

#### Low Water Crossings Lockhart Maintenance Office

RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE			LOC	ATION			NOTES
AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1979	Caldwell	1898-01	532	29.832114	-97.842355	San Marcos River
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1977	Caldwell	1434-03	534	29.783007	-97.831417	San Marcos River
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	0115-02	542	29.752584	-97.781067	San Marcos River
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 672	Caldwell	0384-04	546	29.893555	-97.658898	Plum Creek. Ist crossing coming into the County. Bridge does not flood
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 672	Caldwell	0384-04	548	29.900159	-97.652375	TxDOT usually closes FM 672 u/s of both crossings (4 & 5)
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 86	Caldwell	0571-02	476	29.785633	-97.583254	Plum Creek
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 86	Caldwell	0571-02	474	29.802518	-97.568851	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	476	29.753350	-97.592972	Plum Creek
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	476	29.746671	-97.592754	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	482	29.681976	-97.599406	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	482	29.676150	-97.617506	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	482	29.676313	-97.621711	Cottonwood Creek. Flood Gauge not visible in photos
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	482	29.676555	-97.627918	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1386	Caldwell	2478-01	544	29.700094	-97.586583	Draw
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1386	Caldwell	2478-01	544	29.699763	-97.580494	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 3158	Caldwell	3211-01	474	29.803435	-97.556955	Daniels Creek
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 3158	Caldwell	3211-01	474	29.798275	-97.555641	Not much traffic. Rises and goes back down fast.
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 713	Caldwell	0805-03	550	29.852453	-97.519101	Tennis Creek
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	0115-03	566	29.940812	-97.504873	Draw. Need lot of rain to flood, so low priority
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 20	Caldwell	0115-02	544	29.784383	-97.738602	Draw. Need lot of rain to flood, so low priority
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 86	Caldwell	0571-02	474	29.796381	-97.574131	
Bastrop	Lockhart	FM 1322	Caldwell	1375-02	482	29.675950	-97.607989	Plum Creek
	RESPON AREA Bastrop	RESPONSIBLE OFFICEAREAMAINTENANCEBastropLockhart	RESPONSIBLE OFFICEAREAMAINTENANCEROADWAYBastropLockhartFM 1979BastropLockhartFM 1977BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 672BastropLockhartFM 672BastropLockhartFM 672BastropLockhartFM 672BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1322BastropLockhartFM 1326BastropLockhartFM 1326BastropLockhartFM 1386BastropLockhartFM 1386BastropLockhartFM 3158BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 20BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 86BastropLockhartFM 86	RESPONSIBLE OFFICEAREAMAINTENANCEROADWAYCOUNTYBastropLockhartFM 1979CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1977CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 672CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 672CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 672CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 86CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 86CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1322CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 1386CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 3158CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 3158CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 200CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20CaldwellBastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell<	RESPONSIBLE OFFICELOCAREAMAINTENANCEROADWAYCOUNTYCONTROL-SECTIONBastropLockhartFM 1979Caldwell1898-01BastropLockhartFM 1977Caldwell1434-03BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0384-04BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0384-04BastropLockhartFM 86Caldwell0571-02BastropLockhartFM 86Caldwell0571-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell2478-01BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell3211-01BastropLockhartFM 713Caldwell0805-03BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02 <td>RESPONSIBLE OFFICELOCATIONAREAMAINTENANCEROADWAYCOUNTYCONTROL-SECTIONREF MARKERBastropLockhartFM 1979Caldwell1898-01532BastropLockhartFM 1977Caldwell1434-03534BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02542BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0384-04546BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0384-04546BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0571-02476BastropLockhartFM 86Caldwell0571-02476BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02476BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell2478-01544BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell2478-01544BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell3211-01474BastropLockhartFM 3158Caldwell3211-01474BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0805-03550Bastrop</td> <td>RESPONSIBLE OFFICE LOCATION   AREA MAINTENANCE ROADWAY COUNTY CONTROL-SECTION REF MARKER LATITUDE   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1979 Caldwell 1898-01 532 29.832114   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1977 Caldwell 1434-03 534 29.783007   Bastrop Lockhart FM 20 Caldwell 0115-02 542 29.752584   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 546 29.893555   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 548 29.900159   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.785633   Bastrop Lockhart FM 86 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.753350   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1322 Caldwell 1375-02 476 29.766715   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1322 Caldwell 1375-02 482 29.676150   &lt;</td> <td>RESPONSIBLE OFFICE LOCATION   AREA MAINTENANCE ROADWAY COUNTY CONTROL-SECTION REF MARKER LATITUDE LONGITUDE   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1979 Caldwell 1898-01 532 29.832114 .97.842355   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1977 Caldwell 1434-03 534 29.783007 .97.831417   Bastrop Lockhart FM 20 Caldwell 0115-02 542 29.752584 .97.781067   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 546 29.893555 .97.658898   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 548 29.900159 .97.652375   Bastrop Lockhart FM 667 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.785633 .97.583254   Bastrop Lockhart FM 86 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.786671 .97.592754   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1322 Caldwell 1375-02 482 29.676150</td>	RESPONSIBLE OFFICELOCATIONAREAMAINTENANCEROADWAYCOUNTYCONTROL-SECTIONREF MARKERBastropLockhartFM 1979Caldwell1898-01532BastropLockhartFM 1977Caldwell1434-03534BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0115-02542BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0384-04546BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0384-04546BastropLockhartFM 672Caldwell0571-02476BastropLockhartFM 86Caldwell0571-02476BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02476BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1322Caldwell1375-02482BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell2478-01544BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell2478-01544BastropLockhartFM 1386Caldwell3211-01474BastropLockhartFM 3158Caldwell3211-01474BastropLockhartFM 20Caldwell0805-03550Bastrop	RESPONSIBLE OFFICE LOCATION   AREA MAINTENANCE ROADWAY COUNTY CONTROL-SECTION REF MARKER LATITUDE   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1979 Caldwell 1898-01 532 29.832114   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1977 Caldwell 1434-03 534 29.783007   Bastrop Lockhart FM 20 Caldwell 0115-02 542 29.752584   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 546 29.893555   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 548 29.900159   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.785633   Bastrop Lockhart FM 86 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.753350   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1322 Caldwell 1375-02 476 29.766715   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1322 Caldwell 1375-02 482 29.676150   <	RESPONSIBLE OFFICE LOCATION   AREA MAINTENANCE ROADWAY COUNTY CONTROL-SECTION REF MARKER LATITUDE LONGITUDE   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1979 Caldwell 1898-01 532 29.832114 .97.842355   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1977 Caldwell 1434-03 534 29.783007 .97.831417   Bastrop Lockhart FM 20 Caldwell 0115-02 542 29.752584 .97.781067   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 546 29.893555 .97.658898   Bastrop Lockhart FM 672 Caldwell 0384-04 548 29.900159 .97.652375   Bastrop Lockhart FM 667 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.785633 .97.583254   Bastrop Lockhart FM 86 Caldwell 0571-02 476 29.786671 .97.592754   Bastrop Lockhart FM 1322 Caldwell 1375-02 482 29.676150

#### Low Water Crossings Mason Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPONSIBLE OFFICE				LOC	ATION	NOTES		
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01	444-0.90	30.658150	-99.323983	Llano River; FY2019 project to replace, CSJ 1753-01-017
2	Burnet	Mason	RM 2389	Mason	2688-01	418+0.60	30.650000	-99.234000	Llano River. 2 bridges (crossings). Solution is to sign once for both No. 2 & 3. If 1 floods, then 2 & 3 flood as well. (12 hour lag). Runs down pretty fast. Stays up 1-2 days
3	Burnet	Mason	RM 2768	Llano	2099-01	410+0.50	30.704000	-98.958833	Llano River. Bridge upgrade was planned but cancelled due to public opposition
4	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	458-0.80	30.926167	-99.136333	Not much traffic but causes the most inconvenience to drivers. If RM 1222 floods even at one location, TxDOT puts barricades at US 87 and at RM 386 at other end
5	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	456-1.50	30.926000	-99.168500	
6	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	0577-01	400-1.10	30.895167	-99.121667	No alternate way if RM 386 is flooded. Closed it several times last few years.
7	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	0577-01	396+0.30	30.930000	-99.118167	
8	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	454-1.70	30.927000	-99.205833	Local Traffic only
9	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	452-1.00	30.927167	-99.227000	
10	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	448+1.30	30.901667	-99.280000	
11	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	0577-01	406-1.10	30.832333	-99.178000	Rises up and goes down fast
12	Burnet	Mason	RM 386	Mason	0577-01	406-1.20	30.834167	-99.176000	Rises up and goes down fast
13	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	448-1.10	30.901333	-99.275667	
14	Burnet	Mason	RM 1222	Mason	1102-03	454-1.10	30.927000	-99.195333	Local Traffic only
15	Burnet	Mason	RM 2768	Llano	2099-01	408+1.40	30.717500	-98.954833	
16	Burnet	Mason	RM 1723	Mason	1538-01	410-0.40	30.714000	-99.218667	
17	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01	452-1.00	30.737733	-99.243100	
18	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01	450+0.20	30.727500	-99.243250	
19	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01	448+0.10	30.708317	-99.269783	
20	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01	448-0.90	30.700683	-99.269783	
21	Burnet	Mason	RM 1871	Mason	1753-01	432+0.90	30.603900	-99.471017	
22	Burnet	Mason	RM 152	Mason	0396-08	458-0.40	30.641150	-99.059317	

#### Low Water Crossings Mason Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	TY RESPONSIBLE OFFICE		LOCATION						NOTES
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
23	Burnet	Mason	RM 152	Mason	0396-08	460+0.20	30.656017	-99.020183	RM 152 impacts more people than RM 783
24	Burnet	Mason	RM 152	Mason	0396-08	466-0.40	30.697733	-98.969933	
25	Burnet	Mason	RM 648	Gillespie	1056-02	456+0.80	30.445650	-99.051467	
26	Burnet	Mason	RM 648	Gillespie	1056-02	454-0.10	30.444583	-99.098500	
27	Burnet	Mason	RM 648	Gillespie	1056-02	452+0.50	30.444673	-99.121623	
28	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-01	416-0.90	30.628800	-99.097917	
29	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-01	420-0.10	30.562017	-99.120383	
30	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-01	418+0.60	30.581817	-99.116700	
31	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-01	422-0.20	30.541733	-99.133933	
32	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Mason	1056-01	422+0.30	30.533867	-99.133717	
33	Burnet	Mason	RM 783	Gillespie	1056-02	426+0.50	30.491100	-99.130833	

#### Low Water Crossings South Travis Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE	LOCATION						NOTES
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	South Travis	South Travis	RM 2244	Travis	2102-01	544	30.270687	-97.792718	Eanes Creek
2	South Travis	South Travis	RM 1826	Travis	1754-02	446	30.209318	-97.903397	Slaughter Creek

#### Low Water Crossings San Marcos Maintenance Office

PRIORITY	RESPON	SIBLE OFFICE	LOCATION					NOTES	
RANK	AREA	MAINTENANCE	ROADWAY	COUNTY	CONTROL-SECTION	REF MARKER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	
1	South Travis	San Marcos	RM 150	Hays	1197-01	456+1.15	30.083220	-98.00800	Onion Creek. Circuitous route to get to Wimberley if closed. TxDOT closes crossing at Creek if you come from Dripping Springs. Elder Hill Road has signage (7 mile). Heavy traffic
2	South Travis	San Marcos	RM 150	Hays	1197-01	456+0.85	30.085060	-98.013000	Onion Creek.
3	South Travis	San Marcos	RM 150	Hays	1197-01	458+1.33	30.059769	-97.989886	Yorks Creek. Yorks creek typically fills up when Onion creek fills up.



# Appendix B-GIS Inventory Map

RPS Klotz Associates Project No. 0121.072.004 | February 2017







# Appendix C-Conceptual Layouts

RPS Klotz Associates Project No. 0121.072.004 | February 2017



Location 1 – RM 1888 at Hunters Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory							
Location:	RM 1888 at Hunters Creek						
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.163769</u> Longitude: <u>-98.729469</u>						
County:	Gillespie						
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Fredericksburg						
Body of Water Crossing:	Hunters Creek						
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert						
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)						
Object Markers:	OM1-3V						
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-2, W3-1P, W8-2, W8-18,						
	"WARNING STREAM CROSSING SUBJECT TO FLOODING NEXT 18						
	MILES"						
Sign Condition:	Good						
Pavement Type:	Asphalt						
Rumble Strips:	None						
Pavement Condition:	Good						
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good						
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes						
Description:	Within the study area, RM 1888 is an east-west two-lane roadway with						
	one 12-foot lane in each direction and 2 foot shoulders. Both RM 1888						
	approaches are relatively straight with slight curvature at the low water						
	crossing location. The posted speed limit on RM 1888 is 60 mph.						





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 1888 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 1888 looking west, Westbound (2)



PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR

BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

DISTANCE TO WATER LEVEL SENSOR = 920 FT (APPROX.) W8-18 EXIST TO BE REMOVED W8-2 EXIST TO REMAIN MAY S3-1 EXIST TO REMAIN FLOO ROAD MAY н Н Н FL00D DIP ST LINE SOLAR POWERED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMBLY WITH 36" X 36" W8-18 WARNING SIGN AND 2-12" FLASHING BEACONS MATCH RM 1888 ¢ ₽

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	$C \mid 2$
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



SHEET 2 of 2

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 1888 AT HUNTERS CREEK

TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT

LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY



EXISTING SIGN ON POST
DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
PROPOSED SIGN ON POST
PROPOSED OMNI-DIRECTIONAL ANTENNA
PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL



#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 1888 at Hunters Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Gillespie, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

#### Table C1: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 1888 at Hunters Creek



Location 2 – RM 1631 at Kiehne Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory							
Location:	RM 1631 at Kiehne Creek						
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.290195</u> Longitude: <u>-98.792646</u>						
County:	Gillespie						
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Fredericksburg						
Body of Water Crossing:	Kiehne Creek						
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert						
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)						
Object Markers:	OM1-3V						
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-2; W8-18						
Sign Condition:	Good						
Pavement Type:	Asphalt						
Rumble Strips:	None						
Pavement Condition:	Fair						
Pavement Marking Condition:	Fair						
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes						
Description:	Within the study area, RM 1631 is an east-west two-lane roadway with						
	one 12-foot lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present in the						
	study area. Both RM 1631 approaches are relatively straight with slight						
	curvature at the low water crossing location. The posted speed limit on						
	RM 1631 is 60 mph.						





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 2 - RM 1631 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 1631 looking west, Westbound (2)



	LEGEND				
_0_	EXISTING SIGN ON POST				
$\bigcirc$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC				
$\bigtriangleup$	△ OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR				
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY				
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY				
<u> </u>	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT				
Ť	WATER LEVEL SENSOR				
	PROPOSED CONDUIT				
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA				
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL				

RPS klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit	
Scale:  "= 00'		
Date: FEBRUARY 2017		



#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 1631 at Kiehne Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Gillespie, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
					A / A / TA
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

#### Table C2: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 1631 at Kiehne Creek



Location 3 – RM 1320 at Pedernales River


Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 1320 at Pedernales River			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.272171</u> Longitude: <u>-98.54551</u>			
County:	Blanco			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Johnson City			
Body of Water Crossing:	Pedernales River			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Bridge			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19)			
Object Markers:	OM1-3			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-9T, W8-13aT, W8-15*			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 1320 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one 12-foot lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present.			
	Both RM 1320 approaches are slightly curved at the low water crossing			
	location. The posted speed limit on RM 1320 is 60 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 2 - RM 1320 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 4 - RM 1320 looking south, Southbound (2)





POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH

NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

- FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ۲
- ASSEMNLY PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT 0
- Y WATER LEVEL SENSOR
- PROPOSED CONDUIT
- /------PROPOSED ANTENNA
- PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

## **RPS** klotz associates

1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77079 T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 1320 AT PEDERNALES RIVER

#### **TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

l	RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
	Scale:  "= 00'	C2
	Date: FEBRUARY 2017	





#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 1320 at Pedernales River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Blanco, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	4	\$200	\$800
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$36,300
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,630.00	\$3,630.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$9,075.00	\$9,075.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$49,010

#### Table C3: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 1320 at Pedernales River



Location 4 – RM 962 at Cypress Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 962 at Cypress Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.383090</u> Longitude: <u>-98.249950</u>			
County:	Blanco			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Johnson City			
Body of Water Crossing:	Cypress Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19)			
Object Markers:	OM2-1V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-9T, W8-15*, "WARNING STREAM CROSSING SUBJECT TO			
	FLOODING NEXT 7 MILES"			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Excellent			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 962 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one 12-foot lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present.			
	Both RM 962 approaches are relatively straight at the low water crossing			
	location. The posted speed limit on RM 962 is 60 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 962 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 962 looking south, Southbound (2)



CONSTRUCTION

C4-I

Date: FEBRUARY 2017



RM 962

W1-2 EXIST TO REMAIN

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH

¢ ⇒

INE

MATCH

NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

## $\square$ 1 'n LINE MATCH

# OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT



1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77079 T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 962 AT CYPRESS CREEK

SHEET 2 of 2

#### **TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004		Exhibit
	Scale:   "=   00'	C12
	Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C <del>1</del> -2





#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 962 at Cypress Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Blanco, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	3	\$200	\$600
2	RELOCATE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	1	\$400	\$400
3	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
4	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
5	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
6	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$36,500
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,650.00	\$3,650.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$9,125.00	\$9,125.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$49,280

#### Table C4: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 962 at Cypress Creek



Location 5 - RM 3404 at Llano River



L	ow Water Crossing Inventory
Location:	RM 3404 at Llano River
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.681620</u> Longitude: <u>-98.485350</u>
County:	Llano
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Llano
Body of Water Crossing:	Llano River
Low Water Crossing Type:	Bridge
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)
Object Markers:	OM1-3V
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-2, W8-13aT, W8-15*
Sign Condition:	Good
Pavement Type:	Asphalt
Rumble Strips:	None
Pavement Condition:	Good
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes
Description:	Within the study area, RM 3404 is a northeast-southwest two-lane
	roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present.
	Both RM 3404 approaches are relatively straight with slight curvature at
	the low water crossing location. The posted speed limit on RM 3404 is
	55 mph in the north of the Llano River and 35 mph in the south of the
	Llano River. The RM 3404 was closed due to flooded roadway at the
	lower crossing bridge in the field visit on June 7, 2016.
County: TxDOT Maintenance Office: Body of Water Crossing: Low Water Crossing Type: Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign: Object Markers: Advanced Warning Signs: Sign Condition: Pavement Type: Rumble Strips: Pavement Condition: Pavement Marking Condition: Raised Pavement Markers: Description:	Llano Llano Ilano River Bridge Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP) OM1-3V W1-2, W8-13aT, W8-15* Good Asphalt None Good Good Yes Within the study area, RM 3404 is a northeast-southwest two-lar roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders presen Both RM 3404 approaches are relatively straight with slight curvature at the low water crossing location. The posted speed limit on RM 3404 55 mph in the north of the Llano River and 35 mph in the south of th Llano River. The RM 3404 was closed due to flooded roadway at th lower crossing bridge in the field visit on June 7, 2016.

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 1 - RM 3404 looking southwest, Southwestbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 3404 looking southwest, Southwestbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 3 – RM 3404 looking southwest, Southwestbound (3)



Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

#### Photograph 4 – RM 3404 looking southwest, Southwestbound (4)





Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

Photograph 5 - RM 3404 looking northeast, Northeastbound (1)



Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

Photograph 6 - RM 3404 looking northeast, Northeastbound (2)



	LEGEND
_0_	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ŷ	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\Delta$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
<u> </u>	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
ł	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

**RPS** klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

## LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 3404 at Llano River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Llano, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

#### Table C5: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 3404 at Llano River



Location 6 – RM 152 at Vasterling Creek



]	Low Water Crossing Inventory
Location:	RM 152 at Vasterling Creek
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.705860</u> Longitude: <u>-98.892860</u>
County:	Llano
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Llano
Body of Water Crossing:	Vasterling Creek
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)
Object Markers:	OM2-1V
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-9T, W8-2, W8-15*
Sign Condition:	Excellent
Pavement Type:	Asphalt
Rumble Strips:	None
Pavement Condition:	Good
Pavement Marking Condition:	Excellent
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes
Description:	Within the study area, RM 152 is an east-west two-lane roadway with
	one 12-foot lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present in
	the study area. Both RM 152 approaches are relatively straight with
	slight curvature at the lower crossing location. The posted speed limit
	on RM 152 is 55 mph.

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

Photograph 1 – RM 152 looking east, Eastbound (1)



Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

Photograph 2 - RM 152 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 152 looking west, Westbound (2)





NOIE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

	LEGEND
_0_	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ą	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNI
X	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/ <del>-</del> +++++	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

**RPS** klotz associates 1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77079

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 152 AT VASTERLING CREEK

TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:   "=   00'	C6
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 152 at Vasterling Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Llano, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

#### Table C6: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 152 at Vasterling Creek



Location 7 – RM 2323 at Cottonwood Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory			
Location:	RM 2323 at Cottonwood Creek		
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.530310</u> Longitude: <u>-98.920560</u>		
County:	Llano		
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Llano		
Body of Water Crossing:	Cottonwood Creek		
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert		
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)		
Object Markers:	OM2-1V		
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-2, W8-15*		
Sign Condition:	Excellent		
Pavement Type:	Asphalt		
Rumble Strips:	None		
Pavement Condition:	Fair		
Pavement Marking Condition:	Excellent		
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes		
Description:	Within the study area, RM 2323 is a northeast-southwest two-lane		
	roadway with one 12-foot lane in each direction. There are no shoulders		
	present in the study area. Both RM 2323 approaches are relatively		
	straight with slight curvature at the lower crossing location. The posted		
	speed limit on RM 2323 is 60 mph.		

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

### Photograph 2 – RM 2323 looking northeast, Northeastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 2323 looking southwest, Southwestbound (2)





NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ţ	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
_●_	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
¥	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL



T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 2323 AT COTTONWOOD CREEK

**TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004 Exhib		Exhibit
	Scale:  "= 00'	C7
	Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C/





#### TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 2323 at Cottonwood Creek- Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Llano, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

#### Table C7: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 2323 at Cottonwood Creek



Location 8 - RM 2389 at Llano River



Low Water Crossing Inventory			
Location:	RM 2389 at Llano River		
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.650172</u> Longitude: <u>-99.250713</u>		
County:	Mason		
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Mason		
Body of Water Crossing:	Llano River		
Low Water Crossing Type:	Bridge/Box Culvert		
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)		
Object Markers:	OM2-2V		
Advanced Warning Signs:	W5-2, W8-2, W8-13aT, W8-15*		
Sign Condition:	Good		
Pavement Type:	Asphalt		
Rumble Strips:	None		
Pavement Condition:	Good		
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good		
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes		
Description:	Within the study area, RM 2389 is a northwest-southeast two-lane		
	roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present		
	in the study area. The total length of the lower water crossing is around		
	1,350 feet. RM 2389 ends approximately 750 feet south of Llano River		
	and it turns into Schep Creek Road. The posted speed limit on RM		
	2389 is 60 mph.		

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 1 – RM 2389 looking northwest, Northwestbound (1)



Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 2389 looking northwest, Northwestbound (2)





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 3 – RM 2389 looking northwest, Northwestbound (3)



Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 4 - RM 2389 looking southeast, Southeastbound (1)





Photograph Date: 5/26/2016

Photograph 5 – RM 2389 looking southeast, Southeastbound (2)


	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
¢	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\triangle$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
<u> </u>	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
<u> </u>	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
H	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:   "=   00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C0-1



NOIE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH

RPS klotz assoc 1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 7707 T 281,589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm	iates 9 1 Reg. #F-929
PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAY RM 2389 AT LLANO RIVER	<b>YOUT</b> <b>X</b> ET 2 of 2
TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRIC LOW WATER CROSSING ST	T UDY
RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004       Scale:     1"=100'       Date:     FEBRUARY 2017	Exhibit C8-2

#### 0 EXISTING SIGN ON POST $\triangleleft$ DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR $\triangle$ FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT 0 Y WATER LEVEL SENSOR PROPOSED CONDUIT PROPOSED ANTENNA /------PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

<u>legend</u>



## TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 2389 at Llano River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Mason, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	3	\$200	\$600
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	2	\$12,000	\$24,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	2	\$2,000	\$4,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	4	\$500	\$2,000
				Sub-Total	\$50,600
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$5,060.00	\$5,060.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$12,650.00	\$12,650.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$68,310

#### Table C8: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 2389 at Llano River



Location 9 - RM 2768 at Llano River



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 2768 at Llano River			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.704000</u> Longitude: <u>-98.958833</u>			
County:	Llano			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Mason			
Body of Water Crossing:	Llano River			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-15*, W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Excellent			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Fair			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 2768 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present in the study			
	area. North of Llano River, RM 2768 intersects with County Road 104;			
	south of Llano River, RM 2768 intersects with RM 152. The posted			
	speed limit on RM 2768 is 60 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 2768 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016





Photograph Date: 5/25/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 2768 looking south, Southbound (2)



NOIE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH

1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77079
T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 2768 AT LLANO RIVER

SHEET | of 2

## TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C9-1



MATCH LINE A-A

NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

> PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH



PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 2768 AT LLANO RIVER

SHEET 2 of 2

### **TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	COD
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C7-2



## TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 2768 at Llano River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Llano, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	4	\$200	\$800
2	PROPOSED SIGNS	EA	1	\$600	\$600
3	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	3	\$10,000	\$30,000
4	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
5	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
6	ANTENNA	EA	4	\$500	\$2,000
				Sub-Total	\$47,400
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$4,740.00	\$4,740.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$11,850.00	\$11,850.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$63,990

#### Table C9: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 2768 at Llano River



Location 10 – FM 141 at Middle Yegua Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory			
Location:	FM 141 at Middle Yegua Creek		
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.321623</u> Longitude: <u>-96.786472</u>		
County:	Lee		
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Lincoln		
Body of Water Crossing:	Middle Yegua Creek		
Low Water Crossing Type:	Bridge/Concrete Pipe Culvert		
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	None		
Object Markers:	OM2-2V, OM3-L, OM3-R		
Advanced Warning Signs:	W5-2, W8-13aT, W8-18		
Sign Condition:	Good		
Pavement Type:	Asphalt		
Rumble Strips:	None		
Pavement Condition:	Fair		
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good		
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes		
Description:	Within the study area, FM 141 is a northwest-southeast two-lane		
	roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present		
	in the study area. Both FM 141 approaches are relatively straight with		
	slight curvature at the lower crossing location. The posted speed limit		
	on FM 141 is 60 mph. There are metal beam guardrails along both sides		
	at the low water crossing location.		





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 2 – FM 141 looking northwest, Northwestbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 4 – FM 141 looking southeast, Southeastbound (2)



 $\triangleleft$ Ć LINE MATCH

A			LEGEND EXISTING SIGN ON POST DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC OBJECT MARKER PROPOSED FLASHING BEAC ASSEMNLY PROPOSED ANTENNA PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL	ON
A OF	MATCH LINE B-B	1160 E T 281	RPS klotz associ Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 770 .589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Fir	ciates <sup>179</sup> m Reg. #F-929
ATE. THIS SUBJECT		PROPOR	DSED CONCEPTUAL LA I AT MIDDLE YEGUA C	YOUT CREEK
		T LOW	XDOT AUSTIN DISTRIC WATER CROSSING ST	
		RPS Klo Scale: Date:	ntz Proj. No: 0121.072.004 1"=100' FEBRUARY 2017	Exhibit



LEGEN	D
-------	---

0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\bigcirc$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\triangle$	OBJECT MARKER
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
مہم	WATER LEVEL SENSOR (ULTRASONIC
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA

RPS klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

RM 141 AT MIDDLE YEGUA CREEK

SHEET 2 of

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:   "=   00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH

	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\bigcirc$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL





## TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study FM 141 at Middle Yegua Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Lee, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	ULTRASONIC SENSOR	EA	1	\$4,000	\$4,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
		!		Sub-Total	\$37,900
<u> </u>	<u> </u> _	ļ!			
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,790.00	\$3,790.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$9,475.00	\$9,475.00
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b>└────</b> ′			A=4 4=0
, I	1	1 1		TOTAL (Rounded)	\$51,170

#### Table C10: Preliminary Cost Estimation for FM 141 at Middle Yegua Creek



Location 11 – FM 1624 at Middle Yegua Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory					
Location:	FM 1624 at Middle Yegua Creek				
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.388121</u> Longitude: <u>-97.064129</u>				
County:	Lee				
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Lincoln				
Body of Water Crossing:	Middle Yegua Creek				
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Box Culvert				
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)				
Object Markers:	OM2-1V				
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-18				
Sign Condition:	Good				
Pavement Type:	Asphalt				
Rumble Strips:	None				
Pavement Condition:	Good				
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good				
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes				
Description:	Within the study area, FM 1624 is a northeast-southwest two-lane				
	roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present				
	in the study area. Both FM 1624 approaches are relatively straight with				
	slight curvature at the lower crossing location. No speed limit signs				
	were found on FM 1624 during the field visit. It is assumed the speed				
	limit is 60 mph at the study area.				





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

# Photograph 2 – FM 1624 looking northeast, Northeastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 3 – FM 1624 looking southwest, Southwestbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 4 – FM 1624 looking southwest, Southwestbound (2)



	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ţ	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
<u> </u>	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
¥	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

RM 1624 AT MIDDLE YEGUA CREEK

SHEET | of 2

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



	LEGEN
$\bigcirc$	DIRECTI
<b>_</b>	PROPOSE ASSEMNL
/	PROPOSE
	PROPOSE

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



## TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study FM 1624 at Middle Yegua Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Lee, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

#### Table C11: Preliminary Cost Estimation for FM 1624 at Middle Yegua Creek



Location 12 – FM 1979 at San Marcos River



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	FM 1979 at San Marcos River			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>29.832114</u> Longitude: <u>-97.842355</u>			
County:	Caldwell			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Lockhart			
Body of Water Crossing:	San Marcos River			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Bridge			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	None			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V, OM3-R			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-13aT, W8-18bT			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt , Concrete Bridge			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, FM 1979 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one lane in each direction and 3 foot shoulders. Both FM 1979			
	approaches are relatively straight with slight curvature at the lower			
	crossing location. The posted speed limit on FM 1979 is 45 mph.			





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016

Photograph 2 – FM 1979 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016

Photograph 4 – FM 1979 looking south, Southbound (2)



	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\langle \neg \rangle$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
•	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
مېم	WATER LEVEL SENSOR (ULTRASONIC)
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

FM 1979 AT SAN MARCOS RIVER

SHEET | of 2

LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 45 MPH







## TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study FM 1979 at San Marcos River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Caldwell, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	3	\$200	\$600
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	3	\$10,000	\$30,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	ULTRASONIC SENSOR	EA	1	\$4,000	\$4,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	4	\$500	\$2,000
				Sub-Total	\$48,600
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$4,860.00	\$4,860.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$12,150.00	\$12,150.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$65,610

#### Table C12: Preliminary Cost Estimation for FM 1979 at San Marcos River



Location 13 – FM 1977 at San Marcos River



Low Water Crossing Inventory					
FM 1977 at San Marcos River					
Latitude: <u>29.783007</u> Longitude: <u>-97.831417</u>					
Caldwell					
Lockhart					
San Marcos River					
Bridge					
Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)					
OM3-R					
W8-13aT, W8-15*					
Good					
Asphalt, Concrete Bridge					
None					
Fair					
Fair					
Yes					
Within the study area, FM 1977 is a northeast-southwest two-lane					
roadway with one 12-foot lane in each direction and 3 foot shoulders.					
Both FM 1977 approaches are relatively straight with slight curvature at					
the lower crossing location. The posted speed limit on FM 1977 is 55					
mph.					

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016

Photograph 2 – FM 1977 looking northeast, Northeastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016

Photograph 3 – FM 1977 looking southwest, Southwestbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/9/2016

Photograph 4 – FM 1977 looking southwest, Southwestbound (2)


# WATER LEVEL SENSOR (ULTRASONIC) **RPS** klotz associates T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929 SHEET | of 2 Exhibit CI3-I

NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

DELINEATOR W8-13aT EXIST TO REMAIN BRIDG OM2-2V EXIST TO REMAIN MAY ICE II COLD WEATHEI  $\square$ Ч LINE FM 1977  $\Leftrightarrow$ -MATCH DEL INEATOR-DELINEATOR OM2-2V EXIST TO REMAIN

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 55 MPH









# TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study FM 1977 at San Marcos River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Caldwell, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	ULTRASONIC SENSOR	EA	1	\$4,000	\$4,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$37,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,790.00	\$3,790.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$9,475.00	\$9,475.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$51,170

### Table C13: Preliminary Cost Estimation for FM 1977 at San Marcos River



Location 14 – FM 20 at San Marcos River



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	FM 20 at San Marcos River			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>29.75284</u> Longitude: <u>-97.781067</u>			
County:	Caldwell			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Lockhart			
Body of Water Crossing:	San Marcos River			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Bridge			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V, OM3-R			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-13aT, W8-15*			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	N/A			
Pavement Condition:	Fair			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Fair			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, FM 20 is a northeast-southwest two-lane roadway			
	with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present north of			
	San Marcos River. There are 3-foot shoulders present south of San			
	Marcos River. Both FM 20 approaches are relatively straight with slight			
	curvature at the low water crossing location. The posted speed limit on			
	FM 20 is 50 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016







Photograph Date: 6/9/2016





Photograph Date: 6/9/2016

Photograph 4 – FM 20 looking southwest, Southwestbound (2)



	LEGEND
_0_	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\bigcirc$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
مہم	WATER LEVEL SENSOR (ULTRASONIC
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale: <b> "= </b> 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 50 MPH

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

NOIE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.



	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ţ	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
_	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL



PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT FM 20 AT SAN MARCOS RIVER

SHEET 2 of 2

TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	





# TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study FM 20 at San Marcos River - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Caldwell, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	3	\$200	\$600
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	3	\$10,000	\$30,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	ULTRASONIC SENSOR	EA	1	\$4,000	\$4,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	4	\$500	\$2,000
				Sub-Total	\$48,600
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$4,860.00	\$4,860.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$12,150.00	\$12,150.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$65,610

### Table C14: Preliminary Cost Estimation for FM 20 at San Marcos River



Location 15 – RM 1174 at Oatmeal Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 1174 at Oatmeal Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.703320</u> Longitude: <u>-98.064290</u>			
County:	Burnet			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Burnet			
Body of Water Crossing:	Oatmeal Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-1V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-2, W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Fair			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Fair			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Fair			
Raised Pavement Markers:	None			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 1174 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one lane in each direction. Near the low water crossing location, both			
	RM 1174 approaches are relatively straight. The posted speed limit on			
	RM 1174 is 60 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 1174 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 1174 looking south, Southbound (2)



	EXISTING STON ON TOST
¢	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\Delta$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
X	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	CIS
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



# TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 1174 at Oatmeal Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Burnet, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

### Table C15: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 1174 at Oatmeal Creek



Location 16 – RM 1174 at Unnamed Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 1174 at Unnamed Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.679850</u> Longitude: <u>-98.062550</u>			
County:	Burnet			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Burnet			
Body of Water Crossing:	Unnamed Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-1V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-2, W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 1174 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one lane in each direction. Near the low water crossing location, both			
	RM 1174 approaches are relatively straight. RM 1174 intersects RM 243			
	from the north approximately 1.2 miles south from the lower water			
	crossing location. The posted speed limit on RM 1174 is 60 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

# Photograph 2 – RM 1174 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 1174 looking south, Southbound (2)



0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\Diamond$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
Δ	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
H	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS klotz associates

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	CIA
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



# TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 1174 at Unamed Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Burnet, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

### Table C16: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 1174 at Unamed Creek



Location 17– RM 243 at Williams Branch – RM 243 at Sycamore Springs Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 243 at Williams Branch			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.820920</u> Longitude: <u>-97.934770</u>			
County:	Burnet			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Burnet			
Body of Water Crossing:	Williams Branch			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Excellent			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 243 is an east-west two-lane roadway with			
	one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present within the			
	study area. Both RM 243 approaches are relatively straight with slight			
	curvature at the low water crossing location. The posted speed limit on			
	RM 243 is 60 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

# Photograph 1 – RM 243 looking east, Eastbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 243 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 3 - RM 243 looking west, Westbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 243 looking west, Westbound (2)



Location 17(2) – RM 243 at Sycamore Springs Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory			
Location:	RM 243 at Sycamore Springs Creek		
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.832800</u> Longitude: <u>-97.920380</u>		
County:	Burnet		
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	Burnet		
Body of Water Crossing:	Sycamore Springs Creek		
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert		
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)		
Object Markers:	OM2-2V		
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-18		
Sign Condition:	Good		
Pavement Type:	Asphalt		
Rumble Strips:	None		
Pavement Condition:	Good		
Pavement Marking Condition:	Excellent		
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes		
Description:	Within the study area, RM 243 is an east-west two-lane roadway with		
	one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present within the		
	study area. Both RM 243 approaches are relatively straight at the low		
	water crossing location. RM 243 intersects US 183 from the west		
	approximately 1.1 miles east from the low water crossing location. The		
	posted speed limit on RM 243 is 60 mph.		

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 1 - RM 243 looking east, Eastbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 243 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016





Photograph Date: 6/7/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 243 looking west, Westbound (2)



# Ć INE MATCH

	LEGEND
_0_	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ŷ	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNI
¥	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/ <del>-</del>	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

**RPS** klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 243 AT WILLIAMS BRANCH

SHEET I of

**TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



 $\overset{}{\bigcirc}$ LINE MATCH

	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
Ţ	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
-	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 243 AT SYCAMORE SPRINGS CREEK

SHEET 2 of

RPS KI	Exhibit	
Scale:	"= 00'	
Date:	FEBRUARY 2017	
Date.		



NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 60 MPH

	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\langle \Box$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL





FOR REVIEW ONLY DO NOT USE FOR PERMITTING, BIDDING, OR CONSTRUCTION.

### **TxDOT Austin District** Low Water Crossing Study **Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost** - RM 243 at Willams Branch - RM 243 at Sycamore Springs Creek Burnet, Texas

### ITEM ESTIMATED PRICE PER UNIT DESCRIPTION UNIT AMOUNT QUANTITY NO. 1 REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS ΕA 5 \$200 \$1,000 FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT EA 5 \$10,000 \$50,000 2 3 PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT ΕA 2 \$12,000 \$24,000 4 PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR EA 2 \$2,000 \$4,000 \$3,500 ANTENNA \$500 5 EA 7 Sub-Total \$82,500 MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS) LS \$8,250.00 \$8,250.00 1 CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION) LS \$20,625.00 \$20,625.00 TOTAL (Rounded) \$111,380

### Table C17: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 243 at Willams Branch and RM 243 at Sycamore Springs Creek



Location 18 - RM 2244 at Eanes Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory		
Location:	RM 2244 at Eanes Creek	
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.270687</u> Longitude: <u>-97.792718</u>	
County:	Travis	
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	South Travis	
Body of Water Crossing:	Eanes Creek	
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert	
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	None	
Object Markers:	OM2-2V	
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-15*, W8-18	
Sign Condition:	Good	
Pavement Type:	Asphalt	
Rumble Strips:	None	
Pavement Condition:	Good	
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good	
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes	
Description:	Within the study area, RM 2244 is an east-west four-lane roadway with	
	two 12-foot lanes in each direction. There are no shoulders present	
	within the study area. Both RM 2244 approaches are relatively straight	
	with slight curvature at the low water crossing location. The posted	
	speed limit on RM 2244 is 40 mph.	

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011




Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 1 – RM 2244 looking east, Eastbound (1)



Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 2244 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 2244 looking west, Westbound (2)





NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CUANCE TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

# LEGEND EXISTING SIGN ON POST

0

Û	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\triangle$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
Ĭ	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

# RPS klotz associates

1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77079 T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 2244 AT EANES CREEK

# **TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	





# TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 2244 at Eanes Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Travis, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

### Table C18: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 2244 at Eanes Creek



Location 19 - RM 1826 at Slaughter Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 1826 at Slaughter Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.209318</u> Longitude: <u>-97.903397</u>			
County:	Travis			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	South Travis			
Body of Water Crossing:	Slaughter Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	None			
Object Markers:	OM3-R, OM3-L			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W8-15*			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 1826 is an east-west two-lane roadway with			
	one 12-foot lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present			
	within the study area. Both RM 1826 approaches are relatively straight			
	at the low water crossing location. The posted speed limit on RM 1826			
	is 55 mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

Photograph 1 - RM 1826 looking east, Eastbound (1)



Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

Photograph 2 – RM 1826 looking east, Eastbound (2)





Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

Photograph 3 – RM 1826 looking west, Westbound (1)



Photograph Date: 11/28/2016 Snapshot from Google Earth

Photograph 4 – RM 1826 looking west, Westbound (2)



¢ Û

DISTANCE TO WATER LEVEL 390 FT (APPROX.)

POSTED SPEED LIMIT = 55 MPH

NOTE: THE INFORMATION SHOWN IS A CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED CONDITIONS. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATE. THIS DRAWING IS PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

PRELIMINARY NOT INTENDED FOR BIDDING, PERMIT OR CONSTRUCTION

	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
¢	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
<u> </u>	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
0	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNI
Ĭ	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 1826 AT SLAUGHTER CREEK

TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	





# TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study RM 1826 at Slaughter Creek - Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost Travis, Texas

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	2	\$200	\$400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	2	\$10,000	\$20,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	1	\$12,000	\$12,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	1	\$2,000	\$2,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	3	\$500	\$1,500
				Sub-Total	\$35,900
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$3,590.00	\$3,590.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$8,975.00	\$8,975.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$48,470

### Table C19: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 1826 at Slaughter Creek



Location 20 – RM 150 at Onion Creek – RM 150 at Onion Creek – RM 150 at Yorks Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 150 at Onion Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.085060</u> Longitude: <u>-98.013000</u>			
County:	Hays			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	San Marcos			
Body of Water Crossing:	Onion Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-9T, W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 150 is a northeast-southwest two-lane			
	roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present			
	within the study area. Both RM 150 approaches are relatively straight at			
	the low water crossing location. East of Onion Creek, RM 150 has			
	winding turns. The posted speed limit on RM 150 is 55 mph.			





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 2 - RM 150 looking northwest, Northwest bound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 150 looking southeast, Southeast bound (2)



Location 20(2) – RM 150 at Onion Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 150 at Onion Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.083220</u> Longitude: <u>-98.008000</u>			
County:	Hays			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	San Marcos			
Body of Water Crossing:	Onion Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-9T, W8-15*, W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Poor			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 150 is a northeast-southwest two-lane			
	roadway with one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present			
	within the study area. Both RM 150 approaches are relatively straight at			
	the low water crossing location. South of Onion Creek, RM 150 turns			
	into a north-south roadway. The posted speed limit on RM 150 is 55			
	mph.			

\*"WATCH FOR WATER ON ROAD" removed in TxMUTCD 2011





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

Photograph 2 – RM 150 looking northeast, Northeast bound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 4 - RM 150 looking southwest, Southwest bound (2)



Location 20(3) – RM 150 at Yorks Creek



Low Water Crossing Inventory				
Location:	RM 150 at Yorks Creek			
Coordinate:	Latitude: <u>30.059739</u> Longitude: <u>-97.989886</u>			
County:	Hays			
TxDOT Maintenance Office:	San Marcos			
Body of Water Crossing:	Yorks Creek			
Low Water Crossing Type:	Concrete Pipe Culvert			
Flood (Depth) Gauge Sign:	Yellow Flood Gauge Sign (W8-19, W8-19aTP)			
Object Markers:	OM2-2V			
Advanced Warning Signs:	W1-2, W8-18			
Sign Condition:	Good			
Pavement Type:	Asphalt			
Rumble Strips:	None			
Pavement Condition:	Good			
Pavement Marking Condition:	Good			
Raised Pavement Markers:	Yes			
Description:	Within the study area, RM 150 is a north-south two-lane roadway with			
	one lane in each direction. There are no shoulders present within the			
	study area. Both RM 150 approaches are relatively straight at the low			
	water crossing location. North of Yorks Creek, RM 150 turns into a			
	northwest-southeast roadway. The posted speed limit on RM 150 is 55			
	mph.			





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016

# Photograph 2 – RM 150 looking north, Northbound (2)





Photograph Date: 6/8/2016





Photograph Date: 6/10/2016

Photograph 4 – RM 150 looking south, Southbound (2)





_O_	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
¢	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\triangle$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNIT
H	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

# **RPS** klotz associates

T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg. #F-929

SHEET 2 of 5

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:  "= 00'	C 20 2
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C20-2



	LEGEND
0	EXISTING SIGN ON POST
$\triangleleft$	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC
$\bigtriangleup$	OBJECT MARKER/DELINEATOR
	FLOOD GAUGE ASSEMBLY
	PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY
•	PROPOSED MASTER CONTROL UNI
Y	WATER LEVEL SENSOR
	PROPOSED CONDUIT
/	PROPOSED ANTENNA
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit
Scale:   "=   00'	C20 3
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	C20-5



RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121 072 004	Evhibit
	Exhibit
Scale: $\prod_{i=1}^{n}  00^{n} $	$C_{20-4}$
Date: FEBRUARY 2017	



LINE

TIONS	
THIS	
IBJECI	

SHEET 5 of 5

**TXDOT AUSTIN DISTRICT** LOW WATER CROSSING STUDY

PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT RM 150 AT YORKS CREEK

RPS Klotz Proj. No: 0121.072.004	Exhibit	
Scale: <b> "= </b> 00'	C20 5	
Date: FEBRUARY 2017		



T 281.589.7257 • email@klotz.com • Texas PE Firm Reg.#F-929



EXISTING SIGN ON POST DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC PROPOSED FLASHING BEACON ASSEMNLY PROPOSED ANTENNA PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

<u>legend</u>



FOR REVIEW ONLY DO NOT USE FOR PERMITTING, BIDDING, OR CONSTRUCTION.

## TxDOT Austin District Low Water Crossing Study Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost RM 150 at Onion Creek (1) RM 150 at Onion Creek (2) RM 150 at Yorks Creek Hays, Texas

## Table C20: Preliminary Cost Estimation for RM 150

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PRICE PER UNIT	AMOUNT
1	REMOVE EXISTING SIGNS	EA	7	\$200	\$1,400
2	FLASHING BEACON WITH SOLAR PANEL AND REMOTE UNIT	EA	7	\$10,000	\$70,000
3	PED POLE ASSEMBLY WITH SOLAR PANEL AND MASTER CONTROL UNIT	EA	3	\$12,000	\$36,000
4	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER SENSOR	EA	3	\$2,000	\$6,000
5	ANTENNA	EA	10	\$500	\$5,000
				Sub-Total	\$118,400
	MOBILIZATION (10% OF ALL ITEMS)	LS	1	\$11,840.00	\$11,840.00
	CONTINGENCY (25% OF ALL ITEMS EXCLUDING MOBILIZATION)	LS	1	\$29,600.00	\$29,600.00
				TOTAL (Rounded)	\$159,840