TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF PROCEDURES	XX
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 MOTIVATION	2
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 SCOPE OF STUDY	4
1.4 Project Summary	5
1.5 CONTRIBUTIONS OF STUDY	7
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 LINKING GIS WITH WATER QUALITY MODELS	9
2.2 GIS MODELS OF WATER QUALITY	17
2.3 GIS AS A TOOL FOR SPATIAL DATA EXTRACTION	19
2.4 COMPARISON OF THE PROPOSED METHOD WITH PREVIOUS STUDIES	22
2.4.1 Time domain	22
2.4.2 Spatial domain	22
2.4.3 Model formulation	23
3. DATA AND COMPUTER SOFTWARE DESCRIPTION	25
3.1 Data sources	25
3.1.1 Herbicide and nutrient data	26

3.1.2 Digital Terrain Representation	31
3.1.3 Reach File 1	32
3.1.4 Atrazine and nitrogen fertilizer use	34
3.1.5 Hydrologic and climatic data	36
3.1.6 Maps of mean annual precipitation and temperature	
3.2 COMPUTER SOFTWARE DESCRIPTION	38
3.2.1 GIS software	39
3.2.2 Statistical software	40
4. METHODOLOGY	41
4.1 Representative agricultural chemicals	43
4.1.1 Nitrate	44
4.1.2 Atrazine	48
4.2 SELECTION OF ANALYSIS REGION AND MAP COORDINATE SYSTEM	50
4.3 MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION	54
4.3.1 Overview of transport equations	54
4.3.2 GIS and cascade modeling	58
4.3.3 Regression equation development	61
4.3.4 Agrichemical runoff from the field	63
4.3.5 Transport in rivers	65
4.3.6 Seasonal variations	67
4.3.7 Extracting values of explanatory variables for the regression analysis.	71
4.3.8 Application of the regression models	73
4.4 GIS MODEL DESCRIPTION	74
4.4.1 Subdivision of study region into modeling units	74
4.4.2 Unit watershed flow system	<i>7</i> 8
4.4.3 Ordering system of the modeling units	81
4 4 4 Enhancement of the stream delineation process	82

4.5 REDISTRIBUTION OF THE FLOW RECORD OVER UNGAUGED RIVERS	85
4.5.1 GIS database of monthly flow rate and the precipitation depth	85
4.5.2 Average precipitation depth in modeling units	88
4.5.3 Mathematical description	90
4.5.4 Example of flow redistribution	95
4.6 Exponential decay model	98
4.6.1 Exponential decay model overview	99
4.6.2 Travel time approximation	101
5. PROCEDURES	108
5.1 CONCENTRATION AND FLOW MEASUREMENTS	109
5.2 Preparing data for model parameter estimation	113
5.2.1 Preparing 500 m (15") DEM for analysis	114
5.2.2 Estimation of watershed parameters	115
5.2.3 Creating grid of sampling sites	120
5.2.4 Adjusting location of sampling sites	121
5.2.5 Extracting parameters of the sampled site watersheds	124
5.2.6 Agrichemical application	126
5.2.7 Annual temperature and annual precipitation depth	128
5.3 Preparing data for statistical analysis	130
5.4 AGRICHEMICAL CONCENTRATIONS IN THE IOWA-CEDAR RIVER BASIN	132
5.4.1 Creating a map of the flow direction	133
5.4.2 Map of the modeling unit outlets	139
5.4.3 Watershed connectivity	143
5.4.4 Refining modeling units	144
5.4.5 Database of monthly precipitation depth and monthly flow rate	147
5.4.6 Average precipitation depth in modeling units	148
5.4.7 Spatial distribution of flow	150

5.4.8 Determining input values for the Iowa-Cedar River model	154
5.5 ArcView model of agrichemical transport	154
5.5.1 Model overview	155
5.5.2 Project "Model"	158
5.5.3 Project "Results"	163
5.5.4 Project "Flwprc"	168
5.5.5 Project "Tools"	169
6. RESULTS	170
6.1 SEASONAL VARIATION OF AGRICHEMICALS IN THE MIDWEST STREAMS	171
6.1.1 Seasonal variation of the atrazine concentration	172
6.1.2 Seasonal variation of the nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentration.	177
6.2 AVERAGE ANNUAL AGRICHEMICAL CONCENTRATION IN THE MIDWEST STREAM	MS 180
6.2.1 Average annual atrazine concentration in the Midwest rivers	183
6.2.2 Average annual nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentration	188
6.3 Error of model predictions	192
6.4 COMPARISON OF PREDICTED FLOW WITH OBSERVED ONE	197
6.5 AGRICHEMICAL CONCENTRATIONS IN THE CEDAR RIVER BASIN	202
7. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	213
APPENDICES	223
APPENDIX A C-CODES	223
A1 Program newnx.creconstructing the flow connectivity between modeling	g units
after some of units have been removed	223
A2 Program fdy4.ccalculating the flow rate in ungauged streams from the	
available record	226
APPENDIX B AVENUE SCRIPTS	232

B1 Changing ArcView projects from the PushButton Bar	235
B2 Scripts from the project "model"	237
B3 Scripts from the project "results"	263
B4 Scripts from the project "flwprc"	
B5 Scripts from the project "tools"	279
APPENDIX C ARC/INFO MACROSAMLS	292
C1 WSHGS.AML	293
C2 NEXTWSH.AML	297
C3 RAININFO.AML	300
C4 RAINMAP.AML	304
C5 RAINM2.AML	307
C6 SELDATA.AML	309
C7 FD4Y.AML	313
C8 SLOPE3.AML	315
C9 DEMALB.AML	318
BIBLIOGRAPHY	319
VITA	330

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Description of atrazine and nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen samples published
in USGS Open-File Report 94-396, (Scribner, et al., 1994)
Table 3.2 Temporal distribution of atrazine and nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen samples
from the Midwestern reconnaissance study; USGS Open-File Report 93-
457, (Scribner, et al., 1993)29
Table 4.1 An example of PATpoint attribute table of the USGS gauging station
coverage (e.g., item M199001 contains average flow rate in year 1990,
month 01). Full table contains monthly flow record for 38 stations, for
period from 1940 to 1992 in m ³ /s
Table 4.2. Steps of the recorded flow rate redistribution in gauged zone 5465500 for
June 1990
Table 5.1 Summary statistics of the nitrite plus nitrate and atrazine data sets
Table 5.2 Selected statistics of watershed parameters determined from DEM. Length
of the flow path used to calculate E_S is in 100 km
Table 5.3 Summary statistics of annual application of nitrogen fertilizer and atrazine 127
Table 5.4 Description of tables atra7 and nitr7.
Table 5.5 Polygon Attribute Table of the cruse coverage
Table 5.6 Polygon Attribute Table of the crwsd coverage
Table 5.7 Fields of the file model2a.dbf (model specification)
Table 6.1 Selected coefficients of the regression analysis of the atrazine concentration
in the Midwest rivers. Seasonal variation is explained only by the sine-
cosine harmonics. Coefficients related to the dummy variables are not
shown

Table 6.2 Selected coefficients of the regression analysis of the atrazine concentration
in the Midwest rivers. Seasonal variation is explained by the sine-cosine
harmonics and by the flow rate. Coefficients related to the dummy variables
are not shown
Table 6.3 Seasonal factors of atrazine concentrations in the Midwest rivers estimated
by the regression analysis with and without flow rate record
Table 6.4 Selected coefficients of the regression analysis of the nitrate concentration in
the Midwest rivers. Seasonal variation is explained only by the sine-cosine
harmonics
Table 6.5 Selected coefficients of the regression analysis of the nitrate concentration in
the Midwest rivers. Seasonal variations are explained by the sine-cosine
harmonics as well as the flow record. Coefficients related to the dummy
variables are not shown
Table 6.6 Seasonal factors of the nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentrations in the
Midwest rivers estimated by the regression analysis with and without flow
rate
Table 6.7 Explanatory variables used to in the analysis of the deseasonalized atrazine
and nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentrations in the Midwest rivers 182
Table 6.8 Results of the stepwise regression analysis of average annual atrazine
concentration in the Midwest rivers (Data = atrazine concentration with
removed seasonal component)
Table 6.9 Results of the regression analysis of average annual atrazine concentrations
in the Midwest rivers (Data = atrazine concentration with removed
component explained by the seasonal factor and the flow rate)
Table 6.10 Quartiles of the explanatory variables selected by the regression analysis of
the deseasonalized agrichemical concentrations

Table 6.11 Results of the regression analysis of average annual nitrate plus nitrite as
nitrogen concentrations in the Midwest rivers (Data = nitrate concentration
with removed seasonal component)
Table 6.12 Results of the regression analysis of average annual nitrate concentrations
in the Midwest rivers (Data = nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentrations
with removed component explained by the seasonal factor and the flow
rate)190
Table 6.13. Mean Standard Errors for the atrazine concentration models ($\mu g/L$) 192
Table 6.14. Mean Standard Errors for the nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentration
models (mg/L)
Table 6.15 Comparison of predicted and observed flow rate (m³/s) for three USGS
gauging stations
Table 6.16 Atrazine concentration, load and the flow rate in modeling units that
represent the confluence of the Iowa River with the Cedar River estimated
for June 1990
Table 6.17 Relation of the atrazine application to the atrazine load in two Iowa rivers:
the Cedar River at Palisades and the Old Mans Creek at Iowa City (
Chemical application for year 1989, flow data for 1990)
Table 6.18 Relation of the nitrogen fertilizer application to the nitrate plus nitrite as
nitrogen load in two Iowa rivers: the Cedar River at Palisades and the Old
Mans Creek at Iowa City (Chemical application and flow rate represent
year 1990)21

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Location of sampling sites for study of atrazine and nitrate plus nitrite as	
nitrogen concentrations in storm runoff; USGS Open-File Report 94-396,	
(Scribner, et al., 1994)	27
Figure 3.2 Atrazine Concentrations in Old Mans Creek, Iowa (data from USGS Open-	
File Report 94-396, Scribner et al., 1994).	28
Figure 3.3 Watersheds sampled during 1989 and 1990 for the USGS reconnaissance	
study (Battaglin, 1995).	30
Figure 3.4 A Digital Elevation Model of the Iowa-Cedar River basin, vertical scale	
enlarged 500 times. The white line represents the basin boundaries	32
Figure 3.5 The Cedar River above Waterloo, IA; rivers selected from Reach File 1	33
Figure 3.6 Atrazine use in the Mississippi-Missouri and Ohio River basins in 1989	
(from Battaglin and Goolsby, 1995b)	35
Figure 3.7 Mean annual temperature [°C] in the Mississippi-Missouri and Ohio River	
basins by NOAA climate division (USGS, 1991)	37
Figure 3.8 Annual precipitation [mm] in the Mississippi-Missouri and Ohio River	
basins by NOAA climate division (USGS, 1991)	38
Figure 4.1 Methodology of the large scale modeling of agrichemical concentrations in	
the Midwest rivers.	42
Figure 4.2 The Mississippi-Missouri and Ohio River Basins.	51
Figure 4.3 The Iowa River with tributaries and the USGS gauging stations	52
Figure 4.4 Reactions and transfers in a natural water system (after O'Connor, et al.,	
1983; Thomann and Mueller, 1987; O'Connor, 1988a, b; University of	
Mississippi, 1990)	57

Figure 4.5 Example of the cascade modeling within the GIS	60
Figure 4.6 Nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentrations measured in the Sangamon	
River at Monticello, Illinois, in May 1990 (Scribner, et al., 1994)	71
Figure 4.7 Example of a grid of spatially distributed values of explanatory variables	72
Figure 4.8 Example of watershed outlets: (1) beginning of the river, (2) stream	
junction, and (3) gauging station.	75
Figure 4.9 Comparison of the frequency of modeling unit area subdivided using	
different sets of watershed outlets.	76
Figure 4.10 Division of the Iowa-Cedar River into modeling units using different	
threshold drainage areas: 25 km ² , 400 km ² , and 2500 km ²	77
Figure 4.11 An example of the flow topology of the unit watersheds; (x,y) , x is the unit	
ID, y is the ID of downstream to x unit.	78
Figure 4.12 Flow system in the Iowa-Cedar River basin subdivided into modeling units	
of different sizes (threshold drainage area: 25 km², 400 km², and 2500	
km ²)	80
Figure 4.13 Comparison of the stream ordering systems: (a) Strahler, (b) Shreve, and	
(c) utilized in the agrichemical transport model	82
Figure 4.14 The Iowa-Cedar River basin: subwatersheds and selected USGS gauging	
stations (numbers represent station ID).	86
Figure 4.15 Weather stations applied to analysis of the hydrologic conditions in the	
Iowa-Cedar River watershed.	87
Figure 4.16 Spatial distribution of monthly precipitation depth [mm/d] in the Iowa-	
Cedar River watershed in June 1990	89
Figure 4.17 Cedar River watershed above Charles City, Iowa: An example of gauging	
station zones and modeling units.	90
Figure 4.18 Location of the gauged zone 5465500, its subdivision into modeling units,	
and the USGS gauging stations.	96

Figure 4.19 Example of time series recorded in gauging stations located along the
Cedar River. The data are for the water year 1990. The logarithmic scale
is used to show all time series in one picture
Figure 4.20 Flow path and location of gauging stations which have been used to
illustrate the potential method for time of travel estimation
Figure 4.21 Cross-correlation coefficients: the strength of the linear relationship
between the flow rate in the Cedar River recorded near Conesville, IA,
and the flow rate recorded at indicated locations. All gauging stations are
on one flow path (shown in Figure 4.20)
Figure 4.22 Analysis of travel time and flow velocity: a) cumulative travel time versus
cumulative flow distance; b) travel time vs. flow distance; c) velocity vs.
square root of stream slope
Figure 4.23 Estimation of the "travel time" for major reaches of the Iowa River and
the Cedar River, IA
Figure 4.24 Comparison of the velocity from the RF1 database with the velocity
estimated from a correlation analysis of the flow record in the Iowa River
and tributaries
Figure 5.1 Relationship between atrazine ELISA concentration and concentration by
GC/MS estimated from data published in the USGS Open File Report 93-
457
Figure 5.2 Relationship between atrazine ELISA concentration and concentration by
GC/MS estimated by a different authors: (1) Thurman et al. (1992);
(2) Goolsby et al. (1993) data gathered in 1990; (3) Goolsby et al. (1993)
data gathered in 1991; (4) Moody and Goolsby (1993), and (5) relation
estimated in this study utilizing data from USGS open file report 93-457112
Figure 5.3 Example of the sampling site (from Battaglin 1995) that is located neither
on the stream from RF1 nor on the stream delineated from the DEM121

Figure 5.4 Sampled watersheds delineated from the 500 m DEM
Figure 5.5 1° quadrangles of DEM utilized to subdivide the Iowa-Cedar River basin
into modeling units; Map projection: geographic134
Figure 5.6 Comparison of the stream network delineated from 100 m DEM (a) with
the one delineated from 500 m DEM (b). Both DEMs were adjusted for
RF1 stream network
Figure 5.7 Selected types of the modeling unit outlets (a) type 1, beginning of the
stream network; (b) type 2, upstream cell of the stream junction; (c) type
3, USGS gauging station139
Figure 5.8 The Iowa-Cedar River basin subdivided into 1032 unit drainage areas of
average area 31.6 km ² 146
Figure 5.9 Runoff (flow in rivers) that occurred in June 1990 in the Iowa-Cedar River
watershed
Figure 5.10 An example of the Graphical User Interface: a window for the selection of
the agrichemical application rate in selected counties
Figure 5.11 Atrazine concentrations along the Cedar River estimated for 1990 (left)
and flow rate along the Cedar River in June 1990 (right)
Figure 5.12 "Bar chart" map of the atrazine concentrations estimated for 1990
(preliminary results) an example map created within the ArcView project
"Results" $(g/m3 = mg/L)$
Figure 5.13 Atrazine concentrations in the Cedar River basin introductory estimated
for May 1990 a map created within the ArcView project "Results"
(g/m3 = mg/L)
Figure 5.14 Inconsistency in the assigning IDs to the vectorized grid streams. The
GRID function gridline assigned wrong IDs to the Cedar River
downstream to the junction with the Iowa River

Figure 5.15 Flow rate in the Cedar River and tributaries that occurred in June 1990.
The map has been created by linking the polygon attribute table of
interpolated flow measurements by modeling unit with the arc attribute
table of a stream coverage167
Figure 5.16 Monthly flow rate in the lower Cedar River in 1989-1991. Example of
visual tools of ArcView project "Flwprc" for hydrologic analysis
Figure 6.1 Seasonal factors of atrazine concentrations in the Midwest rivers estimated
by the regression analysis with and without flow rate record
Figure 6.2 Seasonal factors of the nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen concentrations in the
Midwest rivers estimated by the regression analysis with and without flow
rate included as an explanatory variable
Figure 6.3 Influence of the explanatory variables on the average atrazine concentration
(μ g/L). Model without the flow rate (Table 6.7)
Figure 6.4 Influence of the explanatory variables on the average atrazine concentration
(μg/L). Model with the flow rate (Table 6.8)
Figure 6.5 Influence of the explanatory variables on the average nitrate plus nitrite as
nitrogen concentration (mg/L). Model without the flow rate (Table 6.11) 191
Figure 6.6 Influence of the explanatory variables on the average atrazine concentration
(mg/L). Model with the flow rate (Table 6.12)
Figure 6.7 Difference between measured atrazine concentrations and predicted
concentrations in the Midwest rivers: a) model without the flow rate; b)
model with flow rate included as an explanatory variable
Figure 6.8 Difference between measured nitrate concentrations and predicted
concentrations in the Midwest rivers: a) model without the flow rate; b)
model with flow rate included as an explanatory variable

Figure 6.9 Observed vs. predicted agrichemical concentrations in the Midwest rivers
(model with the flow rate): a) at a zine in $\mu g/L$; b) nitrate plus nitrite as
nitrogen in mg/L
Figure 6.10 Location of the USGS gauging stations to verify method of spatial
redistribution of the observed flow rate
Figure 6.11 Observed and predicted flow rate in selected streams of the Cedar River
basin
Figure 6.12 Spatial distribution of the surface water balance for the modeling units.
Estimated from recorded flow rate, precipitation depth and selected unit
features, the Iowa-Cedar River basin, June 1990
Figure 6.13 Comparison of the predicted and observed concentrations of nitrate plus
nitrite as nitrogen in the Cedar River at Palisades, Iowa and the Old Mans
Creek at Iowa City, Iowa, in 1990
Figure 6.14 Comparison of the predicted atrazine concentrations in the Cedar River at
Palisades, Iowa and the Old Mans Creek at Iowa City, Iowa, in 1989 with
the observed concentrations in 1990
Figure 6.15 Profiles of the predicted atrazine concentrations in the Cedar River for
June 1989 and the annual atrazine application (based on the results of the
ArcView model)
Figure 6.16 Predicted atrazine concentrations (mg/m3 = μ g/L) at the Iowa River and
the Cedar River junction (June 1990)
Figure 6.17 Estimated monthly fractions of the annual atrazine load in the Cedar River
at Palisades and the Old Mans Creek near Iowa City, Iowa for year 1990 209
Figure 6.18 Estimated atrazine load in the rivers of the Iowa-Cedar Basin in June
1990
Figure 6.19 Estimated monthly fractions of the annual nitrate load in the Cedar River
at Palisades and the Old Mans Creek near Iowa City, Iowa for year 1990212

LIST OF PROCEDURES

Listing 5.1 Creating depressionless DEM, calculating drainage area and delineation	of
the stream network.	115
Listing 5.2 Slope along the flow path.	116
Listing 5.3 Average slope of the stream network	117
Listing 5.4 Exponentially decaying flow length	118
Listing 5.5 Average distance from field to a stream.	119
Listing 5.6 Average land slope.	119
Listing 5.7 GRID dialog supporting adjustment of sampling sites location	123
Listing 5.8 Extracting parameters of the sampled site watersheds	125
Listing 5.9 Chemical application in sampled watersheds.	127
Listing 5.10 Average climatic parameters.	128
Listing 5.11 Creating a summary Info table of climatic parameters.	129
Listing 5.12 Selecting type 1 watershed outlets.	140
Listing 5.13 Selecting type 2 watershed outlets.	141
Listing 5.14 Creating point coverage of gauging stations from latitude-longitude	
coordinates.	141
Listing 5.15 Fragment of the file latlonfl.cvs containing station IDs and coordinates	141
Listing 5.16 Projecting the point coverage of USGS gauging stations from Geograph	hic
system into Albers coordinates.	142
Listing 5.17 Identification of the downstream watershed ID.	143
Listing 5.18 Eliminating drainage units that are smaller than 1 km ²	144
Listing 5.19 Estimation of the average precipitation depth in modeling units	148

Listing 5.20 Application of the &DO command to repeat action for each month of the	
selected time period.	149
Listing 5.21 Example of the AML that selects precipitation stations that have a	
complete record in June, 1996 and creates the grid of precipitation depth	
(adopted from RAINMAP.AML)	150
Listing 5.22 Example of the Arc/Info macro that prepares data and estimates the flow	
rate in modeling units (adopted from fd4y.aml, Appendix C7)	152
Listing 6.1 The S-plus session for estimation of the model of seasonal atrazine	
variation. Model does not contain the flow rate component	172
Listing 6.2 The S-plus session for estimation of the model of seasonal atrazine	
variation. Model contains the flow rate component1	173
Listing 6.3 The S-plus session for estimation of the model of seasonal nitrate variation.	
Model does not contain the flow rate component	177
Listing 6.4 The S-plus specification of the seasonal nitrate model. The model contains	
the flow rate component.	178