

CRWR Online Report 95-3

**A GIS Procedure for Merging NEXRAD Precipitation Data and Digital Elevation
Models to Determine Rainfall-Runoff Modeling Parameters**

by

**Seann M. Reed
Research Assistant**

and

**David R. Maidment, Ph.D.
Principal Investigator**

September, 1995

CENTER FOR RESEARCH IN WATER RESOURCES

Bureau of Engineering Research .The University of Texas at Austin

J.J.Pickle Research Campus . Austin, TX 78712-4497

This document is available online via World Wide Web at

<http://civil.ce.utexas.edu/centers/crwr/reports/online.html>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by the United States Army Corps of Engineers Hydrologic Engineering Center in Davis, CA. Information provided by Norm Bingham and Dale Lillie at the Arkansas-Red Basin River Forecast Center (ABRFC) and Dong-Jun Seo and Dennis A. Miller at the NWS Hydrologic Research Laboratory at Silver Springs, Maryland, is also appreciated.

ABSTRACT

The National Weather Service (NWS) Next Generation Weather Radar (NEXRAD) radar program generates a product called StageIII which offers gridded precipitation estimates spatially averaged over grid cells of approximately 16 km² and temporally averaged over 1 hour. Hydrologists need to consider how such distributed precipitation estimates may be translated into improved streamflow forecasts. Researchers at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) have proposed using a modified version of the Clark unit hydrograph method to incorporate NEXRAD rainfall data into their streamflow forecasts. The proposed method requires information about the area of each rainfall cell falling within each modeled subbasin and the average flow length from each rainfall cell to the corresponding subbasin outlet. A set of programs was written to obtain this information using Arc/Info GIS and USGS digital elevation models. Properly positioning NEXRAD rainfall cells relative to digital elevation model cells is an important issue. A fundamental problem is that NEXRAD estimates are referenced to a spherical earth datum while data sets describing the land surface (i.e. digital elevation models) are most commonly referenced to an ellipsoidal earth datum. A study of the equations required to transform NEXRAD cells and digital elevation model cells into a common ellipsoid-based map projection is presented.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgment	2
Table of Contents	3
List of Tables	4
List of Figures	6
1.0 Introduction	7
1.1 Executive Summary	10
1.2 Description of Data	11
1.2.1 NEXRAD Data	12
1.2.2 Digital Elevation Models	13
1.2.3 Gage Locations, HUCs, and RF1 Files	14
1.3 Selecting a Study Area	15
1.4 Scales of Analysis	15
1.5 Choosing A Map Projection and Datum for the Study	16
2.0 Methodology	19
2.1 Obtain and Process Digital Elevation Model	19
2.1.1 Create a “Hydrologic” DEM for the Region of Interest	19
2.1.1.1 Locating Study Region	19
2.1.1.2 Obtaining Digital Elevation Models	23
2.1.1.3 Projecting the Digital Elevation Model	25
2.1.2 Filling Sinks	27
2.2 Process DEM for Stream and Watershed Delineation	29
2.2.1 Creating a Point Coverage of Watershed Outlets	29
2.2.2 Selecting Grid Cells for Watershed Outlets	32
2.2.3 Delineating Watersheds	33
2.2.4 Creating a Vector Coverage of Watersheds and Streams	35
2.3 Process DEM for Travel Length or Travel Time Parameter	40

3.0 Positioning the NEXRAD StageIII Cells	
Relative to the Digital Elevation Model Cells	43
3.1 Geodetic (Ellipsoidal) and Geocentric (Spherical) Coordinates	43
3.1.1 Conversions Between Geodetic and Geocentric Latitudes	45
3.2 The HRAP Coordinate System	47
3.2.1 Forward Transformation from Geocentric to HRAP Coordinates	48
3.2.1.1 From Geographic to Polar Coordinates	48
3.2.1.2 From Polar to Cartesian Coordinates	50
3.2.1.3 From Cartesian to HRAP Coordinates	50
3.2.2 Reverse Transformation from HRAP to Geocentric Coordinates	51
3.3 Using NEXRAD Data with an Ellipsoidal Datum	53
3.4 Distortions Involved with Using the HRAP Coordinate System	56
3.4.1 Scale Factor	56
3.4.1.1 The Shape of HRAP Cells	58
3.4.2 Shape Factor, C_s	62
3.6 Reconsidering the Mapping Problem	64
3.7 Verifying Consistency with Arkansas-Red Basin	
River Forecast Center HRAP Cells	66
3.8 Description of FORTRAN and AML Codes to Generate Cells	
and Transform to the Common Coordinate System	67
4.0 Determine the Area and Mean Travel Length for	
Each HRAP Cell in a Subwatershed	74
4.1 Intersect Processed Digital Elevation Model with	
the Coverage of HRAP Cells	74
4.2 Results	78
5.0 Conclusions	81
References	73
Appendix	86

LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Internet Addresses for Data Sources	11
1.2	Parameters of Albers Map Projection	16
2.1	Summary Script for Creating a Hydrologic DEM	29
2.2	Flow Measurement Locations	32
2.3	Summary Script for Stream and Watershed Delineation	39
3.1	Differences Between Geodetic and Geocentric Latitudes for the GRS 80 Ellipsoid	46
3.2	Approximate Scale Factor at Different Latitudes	48
3.3	Coordinate Values for Figure 3.6a	61
3.4	USGS Gaging Stations Identified from Arkansas-Red Basin River Forecast Center Snapshots	67
3.5	Sample Session for Generating a NEXRAD Mesh	68

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	StageIII Cells Overlaid on Watersheds at Tenkiller	9
2.1	Procedure Overview	20
2.2	Identifying the Tenkiller Hydrologic Cataloging Unit	22
2.3	Buffered Tenkiller HUC with RF1 Features	24
2.4	Creating a Drainage Network	30
2.5	Delineated Tenkiller Streams and Watersheds with RF1 Streams and HUC Boundary	34
2.6	Close-up of Dam Location	36
2.7	A Few Misplaced Cells During Raster to Vector Conversion	38
2.8	Computing Flowlength for Each Sub-basin	42
3.1	Geocentric and Geodetic Coordinates	44
3.2	Elevation View of a Polar Stereographic Map Projection	49
3.3	Plan View of a Polar Stereographic Map Projection	49
3.4	Conceptual Diagram of the Correct Steps in the HRAP to Albers Transformation	54
3.5	Size and Shape of an HRAP Cell (701, 263) in Several Coordinate Systems	59
3.6a	Four HRAP Cells Plotted in Geographic Coordinates	60
3.6b	Four HRAP Cells Transformed into Albers Equal-Area Projection	60
3.7	Arkansas-Red River Basin	64
3.8	Control Points at the Corners of State Boundaries	69
3.9	USGS Gaging Stations in the HRAP Plane	71
3.10	Files Used to Create a Coverage of HRAP Cells	72
4.1	HRAP Coverage Intersected with a Subwatershed Coverage	74
4.2	Mean Flow Length from HRAP Cells to Subwatershed Outlets	78